

*Application
for
United States Letters Patent*

To all whom it may concern:

Be it known that **Neil T. Parkin and Rainer A. Ziermann**

have invented certain new and useful improvements in

**MEANS AND METHODS FOR MONITORING PROTEASE INHIBITOR ANTIRETROVIRAL
THERAPY AND GUIDING THERAPEUTIC DECISIONS IN THE TREATMENT OF HIV/AIDS**

of which the following is a full, clear and exact description.

This application claims the benefit of U.S. Provisional Application No. 60/140,483, filed June 22, 1999, the contents of which are hereby incorporated by reference into this application.

5
10

15

20

25

5 selected viral sequences and/or viral proteins. More
particularly, this invention relates to the determination
of protease inhibitor (PRI) susceptibility using
phenotypic or genotypic susceptibility tests. This
invention also relates to a means and method for
10 accurately and reproducibly measuring viral replication
fitness.

Background of the Invention

15 HIV infection is characterized by high rates of viral
turnover throughout the disease process, eventually
leading to CD4 depletion and disease progression. Wei X,
Ghosh SK, Taylor ME, et al. (1995) Nature 343, 117-122 and
Ho DD, Naumann AU, Perelson AS, et al. (1995) Nature 373,
123-126. The aim of antiretroviral therapy is to achieve
20 substantial and prolonged suppression of viral
replication. Achieving sustained viral control is likely
to involve the use of sequential therapies, generally each
therapy comprising combinations of three or more
antiretroviral drugs. Choice of initial and subsequent
25 therapy should, therefore, be made on a rational basis,
with knowledge of resistance and cross-resistance patterns
being vital to guiding those decisions. The primary
rationale of combination therapy relates to synergistic or
additive activity to achieve greater inhibition of viral
30 replication. The tolerability of drug regimens will
remain critical, however, as therapy will need to be
maintained over many years.

35 In an untreated patient, some 10^{10} new viral particles are
produced per day. Coupled with the failure of HIV reverse
transcriptase (RT) to correct transcription errors by

5 exonucleolytic proofreading, this high level of viral
turnover results in 10^4 to 10^5 mutations per day at each
position in the HIV genome. The result is the rapid
establishment of extensive genotypic variation. While
some template positions or base pair substitutions may be
10 more error prone (Mansky LM, Temin HM (1995) J Virol 69,
5087-5094) (Schinazi RF, Lloyd RM, Ramanathan CS, et al.
(1994) Antimicrob Agents Chemother 38, 268-274),
mathematical modeling suggests that, at every possible
single point, mutation may occur up to 10,000 times per
15 day in infected individuals.

For antiretroviral drug resistance to occur, the target
enzyme must be modified while preserving its function in
the presence of the inhibitor. Point mutations leading to
20 an amino acid substitution may result in changes in shape,
size or charge of the active site, substrate binding site
or in positions surrounding the active site of the enzyme.
Mutants resistant to antiretroviral agents have been
detected at low levels before the initiation of therapy.
25 (Mohri H, Singh MK, Ching WTW, et al. (1993) Proc Natl
Acad Sci USA 90, 25-29) (Nájera I, Richman DD, Olivares I,
et al. (1994) AIDS Res Hum Retroviruses 10, 1479-1488)
(Nájera I, Holguin A, Quiñones-Mateu E, et al. (1995) J
Virol 69, 23-31). However, these mutant strains represent
30 only a small proportion of the total viral load and may
have a replication or competitive disadvantage compared
with wild-type virus. (Coffin JM (1995) Science 267,
483-489). The selective pressure of antiretroviral

5 therapy provides these drug-resistant mutants with a
competitive advantage and thus they come to represent the
dominant quasi species (Frost SDW, McLean AR (1994) AIDS
8, 323-332) (Kellam P, Boucher CAB, Tijnagal JMGH (1994) J
Gen Virol 75, 341-351) ultimately leading to a rebound in
10 viral load in the patient.

Early development of antiretroviral therapy focused on
inhibitors of reverse transcriptase. Both nucleoside and
non-nucleoside inhibitors of this enzyme showed
15 significant antiviral activity (DeClerq, E. (1992) AIDS
Res. Hum. Retrovir. 8:119-134). However, the clinical
benefit of these drugs had been limited due to drug
resistance, limited potency, and host cellular factors
(Richman, D.D. (1993) Ann. Rev. Pharm. Tox. 32:149-164).
20 Thus inhibitors targeted against a second essential enzyme
of HIV were urgently needed.

In 1988, the protease enzyme of HIV was crystallized and
its three-dimensional structure was determined, (Navia MA,
25 Fitzgerald PMD, McKeever BM, Leu CT, Heimbach JC, Herber
WK, Sigal IS, Darke PL, Springer JP (1989) Nature
337:615-620 and Winters MA, Schapiro JM, Lawrence J,
Merigan TC (1997) In Abstracts of the International
Workshop on HIV Drug Resistance, Treatment Strategies and
30 Eradication, St. Petersburg, Fla.) allowing for the rapid
development of protease inhibitors. Initially, it was
hypothesized that HIV protease, unlike reverse
transcriptase, would be unable to accommodate mutations

5 leading to drug resistance. This is not the case, and to
date over 20 amino acid substitutions in the HIV protease
have been observed during treatment with the currently
available protease inhibitors. The genetic pattern of
10 mutations conferring resistance to these protease
inhibitors is complex, and cross-resistance between
structurally different compounds occurs.

PROTEASE INHIBITORS

15 HIV protease was classified as an aspartic proteinase on
the basis of putative active-site homology (Toh H, Ono M,
Saigo K, Miyata T (1985) Nature 315:691), its inhibition
by peptastin (Richards AD, Roberts R, Dunn BM, Graves MC,
Kay J (1989) FEBS Lett 247:113), and its crystal structure
20 (Navia MA, Fitzgerald PMD, McKeever BM, Lau CT, Heimbach
JC, Herber WK, Sigal IS, Darke PL, Springer JP (1989)
Nature 337:615-620). The enzyme functions as a homodimer
composed of two identical 99-amino acid chains (Debouck C,
Navia MA, Fitzgerald PMD, McKeever BM, Leu CT, Heimbach
JC, Herber WK, Sigal IS, Darke PL, Springer JP (1988)
25 Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 84:8903-8906), with each chain
containing the characteristic Asp-Thr-Gly active-site
sequence at positions 25 to 27 (Toh H, Ono M, Saigo K,
Miyata T (1985) Nature 315:691).

30 HIV protease processes gag (p55) and gag-pol (p160)
polyprotein products into functional core proteins and
viral enzymes (Kohl NE, Diehl RE, Rands E, Davis LJ,
Hanobik MG, Wolanski B, Dixon RA (1991) J. Virol.

5 65:3007-3014 and Kramer RA, Schaber MD, Skalka AM, Ganguly
K, Wong-Staal F, Reddy EP (1986) Science 231:1580-1584).
During or immediately after budding, the polyproteins are
cleaved by the enzyme at nine different cleavage sites to
yield the structural proteins (p17, p24, p7, and p6) as
10 well as the viral enzymes reverse transcriptase,
integrase, and protease (Pettit SC, Michael SF, Swanstrom
R (1993) Drug Discov. Des. 1:69-83).

15 An asparagine replacement for aspartic acid at active-site
residue 25 results in the production of noninfectious
viral particles with immature, defective cores (Huff JR
(1991) AIDS J. Med. Chem. 34:2305-2314, Kaplan AH, Zack
JA, Knigge M, Paul DA, Kempf DJ, Norbeck DW, Swanstrom R
(1993) J. Virol. 67:4050-4055, Kohl NE, Emini EA, Schleif
20 WA, Davis LJ, Heimbach JC, Dixon RA, Scolnik EM, Sigal IS
(1988) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 85:4686-4690, Peng C, Ho
BK, Chang TW, Chang NT (1989) J. Virol. 63:2550-2556).
Similarly, wild-type virus particles produced by infected
cells treated with protease inhibitors contain unprocessed
precursors and are noninfectious (Crawford S, Goff SP
25 (1985) J. Virol. 53:899-907, Gottlinger HG, Sodroski JG,
Haseltine WA (1989) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA
86:5781-5785, Katoh IY, Yoshinaka Y, Rein A, Shibuya M,
Odaka T, Oroszlan S (1985) Virology 145:280-292, Kohl NE,
30 Emini EA, Schleif WA, Davis LJ, Heimbach JC, Dixon RA,
Scolnik EM, Sigal IS (1988) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA
85:4686-4690, Peng C, Ho BK, Chang TW, Chang NT (1989) J.
Virol. 63:2550-2556, Stewart L, Schatz G, Wogt VM (1990)

5 J. Virol. 64:5076-5092). Unlike reverse transcriptase
inhibitors, protease inhibitors block the production of
infectious virus from chronically infected cells (Lambert
DM, Petteway, Jr. SR, McDanal CE, Hart TK, Leary JJ,
Dreyer GB, Meek TD, Bugelski PJ, Bolognesi DP, Metcalf BW,
10 Matthews TJ (1992) Antibicrob. Agents Chemother.
36:982-988). Although the viral protease is a symmetric
dimer, it binds its natural substrates or inhibitors
asymmetrically (Dreyer, GB, Boehm JC, Chenera B,
DesJarlais RL, Hassell AM, Meek TD, Tomaszek TAJ, Lewis M
15 (1993) Biochemistry 32:937-947, Miller MJ, Schneider J,
Sathyanarayana BK, Toth MV, Marshall GR, Clawson L, Selk
L, Kent SB, Wlodawer A (1989) Science 246:1149-1152).
These findings together with the knowledge that amide
bonds of proline residues are not susceptible to cleavage
20 by mammalian endopeptidases gave rise to the first class
of HIV-1 protease inhibitors based on the transition state
mimetic concept, with the phenylalanine-proline cleavage
site being the critical nonscissile bond (Roberts NA,
Martin JA, Kinchington D, Broadhurst AV, Craig JC, Duncan
25 IB, Galpin SA, Handa BK, Kay J, Krohn A, Lambert RW,
Merett JH, Mills JS, Parkes KEB, Redshaw S, Ritchie AJ,
Taylor DL, Thomas GJ, Machin PJ (1990) Science
248:358-361).

30 **Amino acids implicated in resistance to protease
inhibitors.**

Sub. a1 ~~As new protease inhibitors are developed, the ability of~~

Saquinavir

Saquinavir, developed by Hoffmann-La Roche, was the first protease inhibitor to undergo clinical evaluation, demonstrating that HIV-1 protease was a valid target for the treatment of HIV infection (Jacobsen H, Brun-Vezinet F, Duncan I, Hanggi M, Ott M, Vella S, Weber J, Mous J (1994) J. Virol. 68:2016-2020). Saquinavir is a highly active peptidomimetic protease inhibitor with a 90% inhibitory concentration (IC90) of 6 nM (*id*). In vitro, saquinavir can select for variants with one or both of two amino acid substitutions in the HIV-1 protease gene, a valine-for-glycine substitution at position 48 (G48V), a methionine-for-leucine substitution at residue 90 (L90M), and the double substitution G48V-L90M (Eberle J, Bechowsky B, Rose D, Hauser U, vonder Helm K, Guertler L, Nitschko H (1995) AIDS Res. Hum. Retroviruses 11:671-676, Jacobsen H, Yasargil K, Winslow DL, Craig JC, Kroehn A, Duncan IB, Mous J (1995) Virology 206:527-534, Turriziani O, Antonelli G, Jacobsen H, Mous J, Riva E, Pistello M, Dianzani F (1994) Acta Virol. 38:297-298). In most cases, G48V is the first mutation to appear, and continued selection results in highly resistant double-mutant variants. A substitution at either residue results in a 3- to 10-fold decreased susceptibility to the inhibitor, whereas the simultaneous occurrence of both substitutions causes a more severe loss of susceptibility of >100-fold (*id*).

In vivo, saquinavir therapy appears to select almost exclusively for mutations at codons 90 and 48 (*id*,

Jacobsen H, Hangi M, Ott M, Duncan IB, Owen S, Andreoni M, Vella S, Mous J (1996) J. Infect. Dis. 173:1379-1387, Vella S, Galluzzo C, Giannini G, Pirillo MF, Duncan I, Jacobsen H, Andreoni M, Sarmati L, Ercoli L (1996) Antiviral Res. 29:91-93). Saquinavir-resistant variants emerge in approximately 45% of patients after 1 year of monotherapy with 1,800 mg daily (Craig IC, Duncan IB, Roberts NA, Whittaker L (1993) In Abstracts of the 9th International Conference on AIDS, Berlin, Germany, Duncan IB, Jacobsen H, Owen S, Roberts NA (1996) In Abstracts of the 3rd Conference of Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections, Washington, D.D., id, Mous J, Brun-Vezinet F, Duncan IB, Haenggi M, Jacobsen H, Vella S (1994) In Abstracts of the 10th International Conference on AIDS, Yokohama, Japan). The frequency of resistance is lower (22%) in patients receiving combination therapy with zidovudine, zalcitabine, and saquinavir (Collier AC, Coombs R, Schoenfeld DA, Bassett RL, Joseph Timpone MS, Baruch A, Jones M, Facey K, Whitacre C, McAuliffe VJ, Friedman HM, Merigan TC, Reichmann RC, Hooper C, Corey L (1996) N. Engl. J. Med. 334:1011-1017). In contrast to in vitro-selected virus, where the G48V mutation is the first step to resistance, the L90M exchange is the predominant mutation selected in vivo while the G48V (2%) or the double mutant (<2%) is rarely found (id). In another recent study of in vivo resistance during saquinavir monotherapy no patient was found to harbor a G48V mutant virus (Ives KJ, Jacobsen H, Galpin SA, Garaev MM, Dorrell L, Mous J, Bragman K, Weber JN (1997 J. Antimicrob.

5 Chemother. 39:771-779). Interestingly, Winters et al.
(id) observed a higher frequency of the G48V mutation in
patients receiving higher saquinavir doses as monotherapy.
All patients (six of six) who initially developed G48V
also acquired a V82A mutation either during saquinavir
10 treatment or after switching to either indinavir or
nelfinavir. An identical mutational pattern was found in
another study during saquinavir monotherapy (Eastman PS,
Duncan IB, Gee C, Race E (1997) In Abstracts of the
International Workshop on HIV Drug Resistance, Treatment
15 Strategies and Eradication, St. Petersburg, Fla.). Some
residues represent sites of natural polymorphism of the
HIV-1 protease (positions 10, 36, 63, and 71) and appear
to be correlated to the L90M mutation (id). Another
substitution, G73S, has been recently identified and may
20 play a role in saquinavir resistance in vivo. Isolates
from five patients with early saquinavir resistance and
those from two patients with induced saquinavir resistance
after a switch of therapy to indinavir carried the G73S
and the L90M substitutions Dulioust A, Paulous S,
25 Guillemot L, Boue F, Galanaud P, Clavel F (1997) In
Abstracts of the International Workshop on HIV Drug
Resistance, Treatment Strategies and Eradication, St.
Petersburg, Fla.).

5 **Ritonavir**

10 Ritonavir, developed by Abbott Laboratories, was the
second HIV protease inhibitor to be licensed in the United
States. Ritonavir is a potent and selective inhibitor of
HIV protease that is derived from a C2-symmetric,
peptidomimetic inhibitor (Ho DD, Toyoshima T, Mo H, Kempf
DJ, Norbeck D, Chen CM, Wideburg NE, Burt SK, Erickson JW,
Singh MK (1994) J. Virol. 68:2016-2020). In vitro
activity has been demonstrated against a variety of
laboratory strains and clinical isolates of HIV-1 with
15 IC90s of 70 to 200 nM (Kuroda MJ, El-Farrash MA, Cloudhury
S, Harada S (1995) Virology 210:212-216.

20 Resistant virus generated by serial in vitro passages is
associated with specific mutations at positions 84, 82,
71, 63, and 46 (Markowitz M, Mo H, Kempf DJ, Norbeck DW,
Bhat TN, Erickson JW, Ho DD (1995) J. Virol. 69:701-706).
The I84V substitution appeared to be the major determinant
of resistance, resulting in a 10-fold reduction in
sensitivity to ritonavir. Addition of the V82F mutation
25 confers an even greater level of resistance, up to
20-fold. The substitutions M46I, L63P, and A71V, when
introduced into the protease coding region of wild-type
NL4-3, did not result in significant changes in drug
susceptibility. Based on replication kinetics
30 experiments, these changes are likely to be compensatory
for active-site mutations, restoring the impaired
replicative capacity of the combined V82F and I84V
mutations.

5 Indinavir

Indinavir, developed by Merck & Co., is the third HIV protease inhibitor licensed in the United States. Indinavir is a potent and selective inhibitor of HIV-1 and HIV-2 proteases with K_i values of 0.34 and 3.3 nM, respectively (Vacca Jp, Dorsey BD, Schleif WA, Levin RB, McDaniel SL, Darke PL, Zugay J, Quintero JC, Blahy OM, Roth E, Sardana VV, Schlabach AJ, Graham PI, Condra JH, Gotlib L, Holloway MK, Lin J, Chen L-w, Vastag K, Ostobich D, Anderson PS, Emini EA, Huff JR (1994) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 91:4096-4100). The drug acts as peptidomimetic transition state analogue and belongs to the class of protease inhibitors known as HAPA (hydroxyaminopentane amide) compounds (ibid). Indinavir provides enhanced aqueous solubility and oral bioavailability and in cell culture exhibits an IC_{95} of 50 to 100 nM (Emini EA, Schleif WA, Deutsch P, Condra JH (1996) Antiviral Chemother. 4:327-331.

Despite early reports of a lack of in vitro resistance by selection with indinavir (id), Tisdale et al. (Tisdale M, Myers RE, Maschera B, Parry NR, Oliver NM, Blair ED (1995) Antibicrob. Agents Chemother. 39:1704-1710) were able to obtain resistant variants during selection in MT-4 cells with substitutions at residues 32, 46, 71, and 82. At least four mutations were required to produce a significant loss of susceptibility (6.1-fold compared with the wild type). The mutation at position 71, described as compensatory (Markowitz M, Mo H, Kempf DJ, Norbeck DW,

5 Bhat TN, Erickson JW, Ho DD (1995) J. Virol. (id),
appeared to contribute phenotypic resistance and also to
improve virus growth. Emini et al. (id) and Condra et al.
(Condra JH, Holder DJ, Schleif WA, Blahy OM, Danovich RM,
Gabryelski LJ, Graham DJ, Laird D, Quintero JC, Rhodes A,
10 Robbins HL, Roth E, Shivaprakash M, Yang T, Chodakewitz
JA, Deutsch PJ, Leavitt RY, Massari Fe, Mellors JW,
Squires KE, Steigbigel RT, Teppler H, Emini EA (1995)
Nature 374:569-571) found by constructing mutant HIV-1
clones that at least three mutations at residues 46, 63,
15 and 82 were required for the phenotypic manifestation of
resistance with a fourfold loss of susceptibility.

5 **Nelfinavir**

Nelfinavir, developed by Agouron Pharmaceuticals, is a selective, nonpeptidic HIV-1 protease inhibitor that was designed by protein structure-based techniques using iterative protein crystallographic analysis (Appelt KR, Bacquet J, Bartlett C, Booth CLJ, Freer ST, Fuhry MM, Gehring MR, Herrmann SM, Howland EF, Janson CA, Jones TR, Kan CC, Kathardekar V, Lewis KK, Marzoni GP, Mathews DA, Mohr C, Moomaw EW, Morse CA, Oatley SJ, Ogden RC, Reddy MR, Reich SH, Schoettlin WS, Smith WW, Varney MD, Villafranca JE, Ward RW, Webber S, Webber SE, Welsh KM, White J (1991) J. Med. Chem. 34:1925-1928). In vitro, nelfinavir was found to be a potent inhibitor of HIV-1 protease with a K_i of 2.0 nM (Kaldor SW, Kalish VJ, Davies JF, Shetty BV, Fritz JE, Appelt K, Burgess JA, Campanale KM, Chirgadze NY, Clawson DK, Dressman BA, Hatch SD, Khalil DA, Kosa MB, Lubbehusen PP, Muesing MA, Patrick AK, Reich SH, Su KS, Tatlock JH (1997) J. Med. Chem. 40:3979-3985). The drug demonstrated antiviral activity against several laboratory and clinical HIV-1 and HIV-2 strains with 50% effective concentrations ranging from 9 to 60 nM (Patick AK, Boritzki TJ, Bloom LA (1997) Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 41:2159-2164). Nelfinavir exhibits additive-to-synergistic effects when combined with other antiretroviral drugs (Partaledis JA, Yamaguchi AK, Tisdale M, Blair EE, Falcione C, Maschera B, Myers RE, Pazhanisamy S, Futer O, Bullinan AB, Stuver CM, Byrn RA, Livingston DJ (1995) J. Virol. 69:5228-5235). Preclinical data showed high levels of the drug in mesenteric lymph

5 nodes and the spleen and good oral bioavailability (Shetty
BV, Kosa MB, Khalil DA, Webber S (1996) Antimicrob. Agents
Chemother. 40:110-114).

10 *In vitro*, following 22 serial passages of HIV-1_{NL4-3} in the
presence of nelfinavir, a variant (P22) with a sevenfold
reduced susceptibility was isolated. After an additional
six passages a variant (P28) with a 30-fold-decreased
susceptibility to nelfinavir was identified (Patick AK, Ho
H, Markowitz M, Appelt K, Wu B, Musick L, Kaldor S, Reich
15 S, Ho D, Webber S (1996) Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.
40:292-297). Sequence analysis of the protease gene from
these variants identified in decreasing frequency the
substitutions D30N, A71V, and I84V for the P22 variant and
mutations M46I, I84V/A, L63P, and A71V for the P28
20 variant. Antiviral susceptibility testing of recombinant
mutant HIV-1_{NL4-3} containing various mutations resulted in a
fivefold-increased 90% effective concentration for the
I84V and D30N single mutants and the M46I/I84V double
mutant, whereas no change in susceptibility was observed
25 with M46I, L63P, or A71V alone (*ibid*).

5 **Amprenavir**

10 Amprenavir is a novel protease inhibitor developed by
Vertex Laboratories and designed from knowledge of the
HIV-1 protease crystal structure (Kim EE, Baker CT, Dyer
MD, Murcko MA, Rao BG, Tung RD, Navia MA (1995) J. Am.
Chem. Soc. 117:1181-1182). The drug belongs to the class
of sulfonamide protease inhibitors and has been shown to
be a potent inhibitor of HIV-1 and HIV-2, with IC50s of 80
and 340 nM, respectively. The mean IC50 for amprenavir
against clinical viral isolates was 12 nM (St. Clair MH,
15 Millard J, Rooney J, Tisdale M, Parry N, Sadler BM, Blum
MR, Painter G (1996) Antiviral Res. 29:53-56). HIV-1
variants 100-fold resistant to amprenavir have been
selected by in vitro passage experiments (*id*). DNA
sequence analysis of the protease of these variants
20 revealed a sequential accumulation of point mutations
resulting in amino acid substitutions L10F, M46I, I47V,
and I50V. The key resistance mutation in the HIV-1
protease substrate binding site is I50V. As a single
mutation it confers a two- to threefold decrease in
25 susceptibility (*ibid*). The other substitutions did not
result in reduced susceptibility when introduced as single
mutations into an HIV-1 infectious clone (HXB2). However,
a triple protease mutant clone containing the mutations
M46I, I47V, and I50V was 20-fold less susceptible to
30 amprenavir than wild-type virus. The I50V mutation has
not been frequently reported in resistance studies with
other HIV protease inhibitors. Kinetic characterization
of these substitutions demonstrated an 80-fold reduction

5 in the inhibition constant (K_i) for the I50V single-mutant
protease and a 270-fold-reduced K_i for the triple mutant
M46I/I47V/I50V, compared to the wild-type enzyme
(Pazhanisamy S, St6uvr CM, Cullinan AB, Margolin N, Rao BG
(1996) J. Biol. Chem. 271:17979-17985). The single
10 mutants L10F, M46I, and I47V did not display reduced
affinity for amprenavir. The catalytic efficiency
(k_{cat}/K_m) of the I50V mutant was decreased up to 25-fold,
while the triple mutant M46I/I47V/I50V had a 2-fold-higher
processing efficiency than the I50V single mutant,
15 confirming the compensatory role of the M46I-and-I47V
mutation. The reduced catalytic efficiency (k_{cat}/K_m) for
these mutants in processing peptides appeared to be due to
both increased K_m and decreased k_{cat} values.

20 VIRAL FITNESS

The relative ability of a given virus or virus mutant to
replicate is termed viral fitness. Fitness is dependent
on both viral and host factors, including the genetic
composition of the virus, the host immune response, and
25 selective pressures such as the presence of anti-viral
compounds. Many drug-resistant variants of HIV-1 are less
fit than the wild-type, i.e. they grow more slowly in the
absence of drug selection. However, since the replication
of the wild-type virus is inhibited in the presence of
30 drug, the resistant mutant can outgrow it. The reduction
in fitness may be a result of several factors including:
decreased ability of the mutated enzyme (i.e. PR or RT) to
recognize its natural substrates, decreased stability of

5 the mutant protein, or decreased kinetics of enzymatic
catalysis. See Back et al., EMBO J. 15: 4040-4049, 1996;
Goudsmit et al., J. Virol. 70: 5662-5664, 1996; Maschera
et al., J. Biol. Chem. 271: 33231-33235, 1996; Croteau et
10 al., J. Virol. 71: 1089-1096, 1997; Zennou et al., J.
Virol. 72: 300-3306, 1998; Harrigan et al., J. Virol. 72:
3773-3778, 1998; Kosalaraksa et al., J. Virol. 73:
5356-5363, 1999; Gerondelis et al., J. Virol. 73:
5803-5813, 1999. Drug resistant viruses that are less fit
15 than wild type may be less virulent i.e. they may cause
damage to the host immune system more slowly than a wild
type virus. Immunological decline may be delayed after the
emergence of drug resistant mutants, compared to the rate
of immunological decline in an untreated patient. The
20 defect causing reductions in fitness may be partially or
completely compensated for by the selection of viruses
with additional amino acid substitutions in the same
protein that bears the drug resistance mutations (for
example, see Martinez-Picado et al., J. Virol.
73:3744-3752, 1999), or in other proteins which interact
25 with the mutated enzyme. Thus, amino acids surrounding the
protease cleavage site in the gag protein may be altered
so that the site is better recognized by a drug-resistant
protease enzyme (Doyon et al., J. Virol. 70: 3763-3769,
1996; Zhang et al., J. Virol. 71: 6662-6670, 1997; Mammano
30 et al., J. Virol. 72: 7632-7637, 1998).

It is an object of this invention to provide a drug
susceptibility and resistance test capable of showing

5 whether a viral population in a patient is either more or
less susceptible to a given prescribed drug. Another
object of this invention is to provide a test that will
enable the physician to substitute one or more drugs in a
therapeutic regimen for viruses that show altered
10 susceptibility to a given drug or drugs after a course of
therapy. Yet another object of this invention is to
provide a test that will enable selection of an effective
drug regimen for the treatment of HIV infections and/or
AIDS. Yet another object of this invention is to provide
15 the means for identifying alterations in the drug
susceptibility profile of a patient's virus, in particular
identifying changes in susceptibility to protease
inhibitors. Still another object of this invention is to
provide a test and methods for evaluating the biological
20 effectiveness of candidate drug compounds which act on
specific viruses, viral genes and/or viral proteins
particularly with respect to alterations in viral drug
susceptibility associated with protease inhibitors. It is
also an object of this invention to provide the means and
25 compositions for evaluating HIV antiretroviral drug
resistance and susceptibility.

It is an object of this invention to provide a method for
measuring replication fitness which can be adapted to
30 viruses, including, but not limited to human
immunodeficiency virus (HIV), hepadnaviruses (human
hepatitis B virus), flaviviruses (human hepatitis C virus)
and herpesviruses (human cytomegalovirus). This and other

5 objects of this invention will be apparent from the
specification as a whole.

5 **Summary of the Invention**

10 The present invention relates to methods of monitoring,
via phenotypic and genotypic methods the clinical
progression of human immunodeficiency virus infection and
its response to antiviral therapy. The invention is also
15 based, in part, on the discovery that genetic changes in
HIV protease (PR) which confer changes in susceptibility
to antiretroviral therapy may be rapidly determined
directly from patient plasma HIV RNA using phenotypic or
20 genotypic methods. The methods utilize nucleic acid
amplification based assays, such as polymerase chain
reaction (PCR). Herein—after, such nucleic acid
amplification based assays will be referred to as PCR
based assays. This invention is based in part on the
25 discovery of mutations at codons 10, 20, 36, 46, 63, 77
and 88 of HIV protease in PRI treated patients in which
the presence of certain combinations of these mutations
correlate with changes in certain PRI susceptibilities.
This invention is also based on the discovery that
30 susceptibility to HIV protease antivirals may not be
altered even if primary mutations are present. Additional
mutations at secondary positions in HIV protease are
required for a reduction in virus susceptibility. This
invention established for the first time that a mutation
at position 82 of protease (V82A, F, S, or T) in the
absence of another primary mutation was not correlated
with a reduction in drug susceptibility. Decreased
susceptibility to protease inhibitors, such as indinavir
and saquinavir, in viruses containing V82A, F, S or T was

observed in viruses with additional mutations at secondary positions, such as, 24, 71, 54, 46, 10 and/or 63 as described herein. Decreased susceptibility to protease inhibitors, such as indinavir and saquinavir, in viruses containing V82A, F, S or T was also observed in viruses with at least 3 or more additional mutations at secondary positions. This inventions also established for the first time that a mutation at position 90 of protease (L90M) in the absence of another primary mutation was not correlated with a reduction in drug susceptibility. Decreased susceptibility to protease inhibitors, such as indinavir and saquinavir, in viruses containing L90M was observed in viruses with additional mutations at secondary positions, such as, 73, 71, 77, and/or 10 as described herein. Decreased susceptibility to protease inhibitors, such as indinavir and saquinavir, in viruses containing L90M was also observed in viruses with at least 3 or more additional mutations at secondary positions. The mutations were found in plasma HIV nucleic acid after a period of time following the initiation of therapy. The development of these mutations, or combinations of these mutations, in HIV PR was found to be an indicator of the development of alterations in phenotypic susceptibility/resistance, which can be associated with virologic failure and subsequent immunological response.

In one embodiment of the invention, a method of assessing the effectiveness of protease antiretroviral therapy of an HIV-infected patient is provided comprising:(a)collecting

5 a plasma sample from the HIV-infected patient; (b)
 evaluating whether the plasma sample contains nucleic acid
 encoding HIV protease having a mutation at primary and
 secondary positions; and (c) determining changes in
 susceptibility to a protease inhibitor.

10 In a further embodiment of the invention, PCR based
 assays, including phenotypic and genotypic assays, may be
 used to detect a substitution at codon 88 from asparagine
 to a serine residue either alone or in combination with
15 one or more mutations at other codons selected from the
 group consisting of 10, 20, 36, 46, 63 and/or 77 or a
 combination thereof of HIV PR. A mutation at codon 88
 from an asparagine residue to a serine residue (N88S)
 alone correlates with an increase in susceptibility to
20 amprenavir and a mutation at codon 88 from an asparagine
 residue to a serine residue in combination with mutations
 at codons 63 and/or 77 or a combination thereof correlates
 with an increase in susceptibility to amprenavir and a
 decrease in nelfinavir and indinavir susceptibility.

25 In a further embodiment of the invention, PCR based
 assays, including phenotypic and genotypic assays, may be
 used to detect mutations at codons 10, 20, 36, 46, 63, 77,
 and 88 of HIV PR which correlate with changes in
30 susceptibility to antiretroviral therapy and immunologic
 response. Once mutations at these loci have been detected
 in a patient undergoing PRI antiretroviral therapy, an
 alteration in the therapeutic regimen should be

5 considered. The timing at which a modification of the
therapeutic regimen should be made, following the
assessment of antiretroviral therapy using PCR based
assays, may depend on several factors including the
10 patient's viral load, CD4 count, and prior treatment
history.

15 In a further embodiment of the invention, PCR based
assays, including phenotypic and genotypic assays, may be
used to detect a substitution at codon 82 from valine to
an alanine (V82A), phenylalanine (V82F), serine (V82S), or
threonine (V82T) residue either alone or in combination
with one or more mutations at other codons, referred to
herein as secondary mutations, selected from the group
consisting of 20, 24, 36, 71, 54, 46, 63 and/or 10 or a
20 combination thereof of HIV PR. A mutation at codon 82
from a valine residue to a alanine, phenylalanine, serine
or threonine alone correlates with susceptibility to
certain protease inhibitors including indinavir and
saquinavir. A mutation at codon 82 from a valine residue
25 to a alanine, phenylalanine, serine or threonine in
combination with secondary mutations at codons 24 and/or
71 or 20 and/or 36 correlates with a reduction in
susceptibility to indinavir and saquinavir, respectively.
A mutation at codon 82 from a valine residue to a alanine,
30 phenylalanine, serine or threonine in combination with at
least 3 secondary mutations correlates with a reduction in
susceptibility to indinavir and saquinavir.

5 In a further embodiment of the invention, PCR based
assays, including phenotypic and genotypic assays, may be
used to detect a substitution at codon 90 from leucine to
a methionine (L90M) residue either alone or in combination
with one or more mutations at other codons, referred to
10 herein as secondary mutations, selected from the group
consisting of 73, 71, 46 and/or 10 or a combination
thereof of HIV PR. A mutation at codon 90 from a leucine
residue to a methionine alone correlates with
susceptibility to certain protease inhibitors including
15 indinavir and saquinavir. A mutation at codon 90 from a
leucine residue to a methionine in combination with
secondary mutations at codons 73 and/or 71 or 73, 71
and/or 77 correlates with a reduction in susceptibility to
indinavir and saquinavir, respectively. A mutation at
20 codon 90 from a leucine residue to a methionine in
combination with at least 3 secondary mutations correlates
with a reduction in susceptibility to indinavir and
saquinavir.

In another aspect of the invention there is provided a
25 method for assessing the effectiveness of a protease
inhibitor antiretroviral drug comprising: (a) introducing
a resistance test vector comprising a patient-derived
segment and an indicator gene into a host cell; (b)
culturing the host cell from step (a); (c) measuring
30 expression of the indicator gene in a target host cell
wherein expression of the indicator gene is dependent upon
the patient derived segment; and (d) comparing the
expression of the indicator gene from step (c) with the

5 expression of the indicator gene measured when steps (a) -
(c) are carried out in the absence of the PRI anti-HIV
drug, wherein a test concentration of the PRI, anti-HIV
drug is presented at steps (a) - (c); at steps (b) - (c);
or at step (c).

10 This invention also provides a method for assessing the
effectiveness of protease inhibitor antiretroviral therapy
in a patient comprising: (a) developing a standard curve
of drug susceptibility for an PRI anti-HIV drug; (b)
15 determining PRI anti-HIV drug susceptibility in the
patient using the susceptibility test described above; and
(c) comparing the PRI anti-HIV drug susceptibility in step
(b) with the standard curve determined in step (a),
wherein a decrease in PRI anti-HIV susceptibility
20 indicates development of anti-HIV drug resistance in the
patient's virus and an increase in PRI anti-HIV
susceptibility indicates drug hypersensitivity in the
patient's virus.

25 This invention also provides a method for evaluating the
biological effectiveness of a candidate PRI HIV
antiretroviral drug compound comprising: (a) introducing a
resistance test vector comprising a patient-derived
segment and an indicator gene into a host cell; (b)
30 culturing the host cell from step (a); (c) measuring
expression of the indicator gene in a target host cell
wherein expression of the indicator gene is dependent upon
the patient derived segment; and (d) comparing the

5 expression of the indicator gene from step (c) with the
expression of the indicator gene measured when steps (a) -
(c) are carried out in the absence of the candidate PRI
anti-viral drug compound, wherein a test concentration of
the candidate PRI anti-viral drug compound is present at
10 steps (a) - (c); at steps (b) - (c); or at step (c).

The expression of the indicator gene in the resistance
test vector in the target cell is ultimately dependent
upon the action of the HIV enzymes (PR and RT) encoded by
15 the patient-derived segment DNA sequences. The indicator
gene may be functional or non-functional.

In another aspect this invention is directed to
antiretroviral drug susceptibility and resistance tests
20 for HIV/AIDS. Particular resistance test vectors of the
invention for use in the HIV/AIDS antiretroviral drug
susceptibility and resistance test are identified.

Yet another aspect of this invention provides for the
25 identification and assessment of the biological
effectiveness of potential therapeutic antiretroviral
compounds for the treatment of HIV and/or AIDS. In
another aspect, the invention is directed to a novel
resistance test vector comprising a patient-derived
30 segment further comprising one or more mutations on the PR
gene and an indicator gene.

Still another aspect of this invention provides for the

5 identification and assessment of the fitness of a virus
infecting a patient. In another aspect, the invention is
directed to a novel resistance test vector comprising a
patient-derived segment further comprising one or more
mutations on the PR gene and an indicator gene, enabling
10 the measurement of viral fitness.

00501329-061200

5 **Brief Description of the Drawings**

Fig. 1

Resistance Test Vector. A diagrammatic representation of the resistance test vector comprising a patient derived
10 segment and an indicator gene.

Fig. 2

Two Cell Assay. Schematic Representation of the Assay. A
resistance test vector is generated by cloning the
15 patient-derived segment into an indicator gene viral
vector. The resistance test vector is then co-transfected
with an expression vector that produces amphotropic murine
leukemia virus (MLV) envelope protein or other viral or
cellular proteins which enable infection. Pseudotyped
20 viral particles are produced containing the protease (PR)
and the reverse transcriptase (RT) gene products encoded
by the patient-derived DNA sequences. The particles are
then harvested and used to infect fresh cells. Using
defective PR and RT sequences it was shown that luciferase
25 activity is dependent on functional PR and RT. PR
inhibitors are added to the cells following transfection
and are thus present during particle maturation. RT
inhibitors, on the other hand, are added to the cells at
the time of or prior to viral particle infection. The
30 assay is performed in the absence of drug and in the
presence of drug over a wide range of concentrations.
Luciferase activity is determined and the percentage (%)
inhibition is calculated at the different drug

5 concentrations tested.

Fig. 3

Examples of phenotypic drug susceptibility profiles. Data are analyzed by plotting the percent inhibition of luciferase activity vs. log10 concentration. This plot is used to calculate the drug concentration that is required to inhibit virus replication by 50% (IC50) or by 95% (IC95). Shifts in the inhibition curves towards higher drug concentrations are interpreted as evidence of drug resistance. Three typical curves for a nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor (AZT), a non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor (efavirenz), and a protease inhibitor (indinavir) are shown. A reduction in drug susceptibility (resistance) is reflected in a shift in the drug susceptibility curve toward higher drug concentrations (to the right) as compared to a baseline (pre-treatment) sample or a drug susceptible virus reference control, such as pNL4-3 or HXB-2, when a baseline sample is not available.

Fig. 4

Phenotypic PRI susceptibility profile: patient 0732. A PCR-based phenotypic susceptibility assay was carried out giving the phenotypic drug susceptibility profile showing decreased susceptibility to nelfinavir and indinavir, and increased susceptibility to amprenavir.

Sub a4

Fig. 5

Phenotypic PRI susceptibility profile of a protease mutant generated by site-specific oligonucleotide-directed mutagenesis. A PCR-based phenotypic susceptibility assay was carried out giving the phenotypic drug susceptibility profile of a virus having substitutions at codons 63, 77 and 88 (L63P, V77I and N88S). The profile demonstrates resistance to both nelfinavir and indinavir, and increased susceptibility to amprenavir.

15

25

30

Fig. A

Two Cell Fitness Assay. Schematic Representation of the Fitness Assay. A fitness test vector is generated by cloning the patient-derived segment into an indicator gene viral vector. The fitness test vector is then co-transfected with an expression vector that produces amphotropic murine leukemia virus (MLV) envelope protein or other viral or cellular proteins which enable infection. Pseudotyped viral particles are produced containing the protease (PR) and the reverse transcriptase (RT) gene products encoded by the patient-derived DNA sequences. The particles are then harvested and used to infect fresh cells. Using defective PR and RT sequences it was shown that luciferase activity is dependent on functional PR and RT. The fitness assay is typically performed in the absence of drug. If desired, the assay can also be performed at defined drug concentrations. Luciferase activity produced by patient derived viruses is compared to the luciferase activity produced by well-characterized reference viruses. Replication fitness is expressed as a percent of the reference.

Figure B.

Determining the replication fitness of patient viruses. Virus stocks produced from fitness test vectors derived from patient samples were used to infect cells. Luciferase activity was measured at various times after infection. Patient derived viruses may produce more, approximately the same, or less luciferase activity

5 than the reference virus (Ref) and are said to have
greater, equivalent, or reduced replication fitness,
respectively. The drug susceptibility profiles of
three representative patient derived viruses are shown
(P1, P2, P3).

10

Figure C.

15

Identifying alterations in protease or reverse
transcriptase function associated with differences in
replication fitness of patient viruses. Replication
fitness is expressed as a percent of the reference
virus (top). Fitness measurements are compared to
protease processing of the p55 gag polyprotein (middle)
and reverse transcriptase activity (bottom). Protease
processing is measured by Western blot analysis using
an antibody that reacts with the mature capsid protein
(p24). The detection of unprocessed p55 or
incompletely processed p41 polyproteins are indicators
of reduced cleavage. Reverse transcriptase activity is
measured using a quantitative RT-PCR assay and is
expressed as a percent of the reference virus.

20

25

Figure D.

30

Correlating reduced replication fitness with reduced
reverse transcriptase activity. Viruses containing
various amino acid substitutions at position 190 (A, S,
C, Q, E, T, V) of reverse transcriptase were
constructed using site directed mutagenesis. The
reference virus contains G at this position.
Replication fitness and reverse transcriptase

5 activities were compared.

Figure E.

Correlating reduced replication fitness with reduced
protease processing of p55 gag. Viruses containing
10 various amino acid substitutions in protease (D30N,
L90M, etc) were constructed using site directed
mutagenesis. Replication fitness and p55 gag
processing were compared.

15 Figure F.

Correlating reduced replication fitness with reduced
drug susceptibility. A large collection (n=134) of
patient samples were evaluated for phenotypic drug
susceptibility and replication fitness. Replication
20 fitness and drug susceptibility were compared.

Figure G.

Relationship between protease inhibitor susceptibility
and replication fitness. Patient samples were sorted
25 based on their replication fitness (<25% of reference,
26-75% of reference, and >75% of reference). Mean
values for protease inhibitor susceptibility were
determined for each fitness group and plotted for each
drug and all drugs combined.

30

Figure H.

Relationship between reverse transcriptase inhibitor
susceptibility and replication fitness. Patient
samples were sorted based on their replication fitness

5 (<25% of reference, 26-75% of reference, and >75% of reference). Mean values for reverse transcriptase susceptibility were determined for each fitness group and plotted for each drug and all drugs combined.

10 Figure I.

Reduced replication fitness is associated with high numbers of protease mutations, and the L90M mutation. Patient viruses were sorted based on the number of protease mutations. Viruses with large numbers of protease mutations or the L90M protease mutation generally exhibit reduced replication fitness.

Figure J.

Low replication capacity is associated with specific protease mutations. Patient viruses were sorted based on replication capacity. Specific protease mutations either alone (D30N) or in combination (L90M plus others) were observed with high frequency in viruses with reduced replication fitness.

Figure K.

Relationship between nelfinavir susceptibility, protease processing and replication fitness. Patient viruses were sorted based on nelfinavir susceptibility (<10 or >10 of reference). Protease processing and replication fitness were plotted for all patient viruses. Viruses with reduced nelfinavir susceptibility generally exhibited reduced protease processing and reduced replication fitness.

5 Figure L. Protease mutations associated with reduced
protease processing. Patient viruses were sorted based
on protease processing. Specific protease mutations
were observed at high frequency in viruses with reduced
protease processing.

10

Figure M.

Representative patient sample exhibiting reversion to
drug susceptibility during a period of drug treatment
interruption. Virus samples were collected weekly
15 during a period of treatment interruption and evaluated
for phenotypic drug susceptibility. Values shown
represent fold change in susceptibility compared to the
reference virus.

20

Figure N.

Representative patient sample exhibiting increased
replication fitness during a period of drug treatment
interruption. Virus samples were collected weekly
during a period of treatment interruption and evaluated
25 for phenotypic drug susceptibility. Fitness values
shown represent percent of the reference virus. The
increase in fitness between week 9 and week 10
corresponds to improved protease processing (bottom)
and reversion of the drug resistant phenotype to a drug
30 sensitive phenotype (Figure M).

Figure O.

Increased replication fitness during treatment
interruption. Replication fitness was measured at the

0

95

Case	Age	Sex	Occupation	Duration of illness (years)	Onset of illness	Course of illness	Family history	Genetic findings	Pathological findings	Diagnosis
1	45	Male	Farmer	10	1980	Chronic	None	None	None	Chronic
2	52	Female	Housewife	15	1965	Chronic	None	None	None	Chronic
3	60	Male	Teacher	20	1940	Chronic	None	None	None	Chronic
4	68	Female	Retired	25	1943	Chronic	None	None	None	Chronic
5	72	Male	Engineer	30	1942	Chronic	None	None	None	Chronic
6	75	Female	Housewife	35	1940	Chronic	None	None	None	Chronic
7	78	Male	Farmer	40	1938	Chronic	None	None	None	Chronic
8	80	Female	Housewife	45	1935	Chronic	None	None	None	Chronic
9	82	Male	Teacher	50	1932	Chronic	None	None	None	Chronic
10	85	Female	Retired	55	1930	Chronic	None	None	None	Chronic
11	88	Male	Engineer	60	1928	Chronic	None	None	None	Chronic
12	90	Female	Housewife	65	1925	Chronic	None	None	None	Chronic
13	92	Male	Farmer	70	1922	Chronic	None	None	None	Chronic
14	95	Female	Housewife	75	1920	Chronic	None	None	None	Chronic
15	98	Male	Teacher	80	1918	Chronic	None	None	None	Chronic

5 **Detailed Description of the Invention**

10 The present invention relates to methods of monitoring the
clinical progression of HIV infection in patients
receiving antiretroviral therapy, particularly protease
inhibitor antiretroviral therapy.

15 In one embodiment, the present invention provides for a
method of evaluating the effectiveness of antiretroviral
therapy of a patient comprising (i) collecting a
biological sample from an HIV-infected patient; and (ii)
determining whether the biological sample comprises
nucleic acid encoding HIV PR having a mutation at one or
more positions in the PR. The mutation(s) correlate
positively with alterations in phenotypic susceptibility.

20 In a specific embodiment, the invention provides for a
method of evaluating the effectiveness of PRI
antiretroviral therapy of a patient comprising (i)
collecting a biological sample from an HIV-infected
25 patient; and (ii) determining whether the biological
sample comprises nucleic acid encoding HIV PR having a
mutation at codon 88 from an asparagine residue to a
serine residue (N88S). This invention established, using
a phenotypic susceptibility assay, that a mutation at
30 codon 88 to a serine residue of HIV protease is correlated
with an increase in amprenavir susceptibility.

In a specific embodiment, the invention provides for a

5 method of evaluating the effectiveness of PRI
antiretroviral therapy of a patient comprising (i)
collecting a biological sample from an HIV-infected
patient; and (ii) determining whether the biological
sample comprises nucleic acid encoding HIV PR having a
10 mutation at codon 88 from an asparagine residue to a
serine residue (N88S) either alone or in combination with
mutations at codons 63 and/or 77 or a combination thereof.
This invention established, using a phenotypic
susceptibility assay, that a mutation at codon 88 to a
15 serine residue of HIV protease is correlated with an
increase in amprenavir susceptibility and a mutation at
codon 88 to a serine residue in combination with mutations
at codons 63 and/or 77 or a combination thereof of HIV
protease are correlated with an increase in amprenavir
20 susceptibility and a decrease in nelfinavir and indinavir
susceptibility.

In a specific embodiment, the invention provides for a
method of evaluating the effectiveness of PRI
25 antiretroviral therapy of a patient comprising (i)
collecting a biological sample from an HIV-infected
patient; and (ii) determining whether the biological
sample comprises nucleic acid encoding HIV PR having a
mutation at codon 88 from an asparagine residue to a
30 serine residue (N88S) either alone or in combination with
mutations at codons 46, 63 and/or 77 or a combination
thereof. This invention established, using a phenotypic
susceptibility assay, that a mutation at codon 88 to a

5 serine residue of HIV protease is correlated with an
increase in amprenavir susceptibility and a mutation at
codon 88 to a serine residue in combination with mutations
at codons 46, 63 and/or 77 or a combination thereof of HIV
10 protease are correlated with an increase in amprenavir
susceptibility and a decrease in nelfinavir and indinavir
susceptibility.

15 In a specific embodiment, the invention provides for a
method of evaluating the effectiveness of PRI
antiretroviral therapy of a patient comprising (i)
collecting a biological sample from an HIV-infected
patient; and (ii) determining whether the biological
sample comprises nucleic acid encoding HIV PR having a
20 mutation at codon 88 from an asparagine residue to a
serine residue (N88S) either alone or in combination with
mutations at codons 10, 20, 36, 46, 63 and/or 77 or a
combination thereof. This invention established, using a
phenotypic susceptibility assay, that a mutation at codon
25 88 to a serine residue of HIV protease is correlated with
an increase in amprenavir susceptibility and a mutation at
codon 88 to a serine residue in combination with mutations
at codons 10, 20, 36, 46, 63 and/or 77 or a combination
thereof of HIV protease are correlated with an increase in
30 amprenavir susceptibility and a decrease in nelfinavir and
indinavir susceptibility.

Under the foregoing circumstances, the phenotypic
susceptibility profile and genotypic profile of the HIV

5 virus infecting the patient has been altered reflecting a
change in response to the antiretroviral agent. In the
case of PRI antiretroviral therapy, the HIV virus
infecting the patient may be resistant to one or more PRIs
but hypersensitive to another of the PRIs as described
10 herein. It therefore may be desirable after detecting the
mutation(s), to either increase the dosage of the
antiretroviral agent, change to another antiretroviral
agent, or add one or more additional antiretroviral agents
to the patient's therapeutic regimen. For example, if the
15 patient was being treated with nelfinavir when the N88S
mutation arose, the patient's therapeutic regimen may
desirably be altered by either (i) changing to a different
PRI antiretroviral agent, such as saquinavir, ritonavir or
amprenavir and stopping nelfinavir treatment; or (ii)
20 increasing the dosage of nelfinavir; or (iii) adding
another antiretroviral agent to the patient's therapeutic
regimen. The effectiveness of the modification in therapy
may be further evaluated by monitoring viral burden such
as by HIV RNA copy number. A decrease in HIV RNA copy
25 number correlates positively with the effectiveness of a
treatment regimen.

The phrase "correlates positively," as used herein,
indicates that a particular result renders a particular
30 conclusion more likely than other conclusions.

When reference is made to a particular codon number, it is
understood that the codon number refers to the position of

5 the amino acid that the codon codes for. Therefore a codon
referencing a particular number is equivalent to a
"postion" referencing a particular number, such as for
example, "codon 88" or "position 88".

10 Another preferred, non-limiting, specific embodiment of
the invention is as follows: A method of evaluating the
effectiveness of PRI therapy of a patient comprising (i)
collecting a biological sample from an HIV-infected
15 patient; (ii) purifying and converting the viral RNA to
cDNA and amplifying HIV sequences using HIV primers that
result in a PCR product that comprises the PR gene; (iii)
performing PCR using primers that result in PCR products
comprising wild type or serine at codon 88; and (iv)
20 determining, via the products of PCR, the presence or
absence of a serine residue at codon 88.

Another preferred, non-limiting, specific embodiment of
the invention is as follows: A method of evaluating the
effectiveness of PRI therapy of a patient comprising (i)
25 collecting a biological sample from an HIV-infected
patient; (ii) purifying and converting the viral RNA to
cDNA and amplifying HIV sequences using HIV primers that
result in a PCR product that comprises the PR gene; (iii)
performing PCR using primers that result in PCR products
30 comprising wild type or serine at codon 88 and mutations
at codons 63 and/or 77; and (iv) determining, via the
products of PCR, the presence or absence of a serine
residue at codon 88 and the presence or absence of

5 mutations at codons 63 and/or 77.

Another preferred, non-limiting, specific embodiment of the invention is as follows: A method of evaluating the effectiveness of PRI therapy of a patient comprising (i) collecting a biological sample from an HIV-infected patient; (ii) purifying and converting the viral RNA to cDNA and amplifying HIV sequences using HIV primers that result in a PCR product that comprises the PR gene; (iii) performing PCR using primers that result in PCR products comprising wild type or serine at codon 88 and mutations at codons 63, 77 and/or 46 or a combination thereof; and (iv) determining, via the products of PCR, the presence or absence of a serine residue at codon 88 and the presence or absence of mutations at codons 63, 77 and/or 46 or a combination thereof.

Another preferred, non-limiting, specific embodiment of the invention is as follows: A method of evaluating the effectiveness of PRI therapy of a patient comprising (i) collecting a biological sample from an HIV-infected patient; (ii) purifying and converting the viral RNA to cDNA and amplifying HIV sequences using HIV primers that result in a PCR product that comprises the PR gene; (iii) performing PCR using primers that result in PCR products comprising wild type or serine at codon 88 and mutations at codons 63, 77, 46, 10, 20, and/or 36 or a combination thereof; and (iv) determining, via the products of PCR, the presence or absence of a serine residue at codon 88

5 and the presence or absence of mutations at codons 63, 77,
46, 10, 20, and/or 36 or a combination thereof.

10 The presence of the mutation at codon 88 to a serine of
HIV PR indicates that the effectiveness of the current or
prospective PRI therapy may require alteration, since as
shown by this invention mutation at codon 88 to a serine
residue increases the susceptibility to amprenavir. Using
the methods of this invention, changes in the PRI therapy
would be indicated.

15 The presence of the mutation at codon 88 to a serine of
alone or in combination with mutations at condons 63, 77,
46, 10, 20, and/or 36 or a combination thereof of HIV PR
indicates that the effectiveness of the current or
20 prospective PRI therapy may require alteration, since as
shown by this invention a mutation at codon 88 to a serine
residue alone increases the susceptibility to amprenavir
and a mutation at codon 88 to a serine residue in
combination with mutations at condons 63, 77, 46, 10, 20,
25 and/or 36 or a combination increases the susceptibility to
amprenavir but also reduces the susceptibility to
nelfinavir and indinavir. Using the methods of this
invention, changes in the PRI therapy would be indicated.

30 Another preferred, non-limiting, specific embodiment of
the invention is as follows: a method of evaluating the
effectiveness of antiretroviral therapy of an HIV-infected
patient comprising: (a) collecting a biological sample

5 from an HIV-infected patient; and (b) determining whether
the biological sample comprises nucleic acid encoding HIV
protease having a mutation at codon 88 to serine. Using
the phenotypic susceptibility assay, it was observed that
10 the presence of the mutation at codon 88 to serine of HIV
PR causes a an increase in amprenavir susceptibility.

Another preferred, non-limiting, specific embodiment of
the invention is as follows: a method of evaluating the
effectiveness of antiretroviral therapy of an HIV-infected
15 patient comprising: (a) collecting a biological sample
from an HIV-infected patient; and (b) determining whether
the biological sample comprises nucleic acid encoding HIV
protease having a mutation at codon 88 to serine and
additional mutation(s) at codons 63 and/or 77 or a
20 combination thereof. Using the phenotypic susceptibility
assay, it was observed that the presence of the mutation
at codon 88 to serine of HIV PR causes an increase in
amprenavir susceptibility and the presence of the
mutations at codon 88 to serine in combination with a
25 mutation at codon(s) 63 and/or 77 or a combination thereof
of HIV PR causes a decrease in nelfinavir and indinavir
susceptibility while increasing amprenavir susceptibility.

Another preferred, non-limiting, specific embodiment of
30 the invention is as follows: a method of evaluating the
effectiveness of antiretroviral therapy of an HIV-infected
patient comprising: (a) collecting a biological sample
from an HIV-infected patient; and (b) determining whether

5 the biological sample comprises nucleic acid encoding HIV
protease having a mutation at codon 88 to serine and
additional mutation(s) at codons 63, 77 and/or 46 or a
combination thereof. Using the phenotypic susceptibility
10 at codon 88 to serine of HIV PR causes an increase in
amprenavir susceptibility and the presence of the
mutations at codon 88 to serine in combination with a
mutation at codon(s) 46, 63 and/or 77 or a combination
thereof of HIV PR causes a decrease in nelfinavir and
15 indinavir susceptibility while increasing amprenavir
susceptibility.

Another preferred, non-limiting, specific embodiment of
the invention is as follows: a method of evaluating the
20 effectiveness of antiretroviral therapy of an HIV-infected
patient comprising: (a) collecting a biological sample
from an HIV-infected patient; and (b) determining whether
the biological sample comprises nucleic acid encoding HIV
protease having a mutation at codon 88 to serine and
25 additional mutation(s) at codons 63, 77, 46, 10, 20 and/or
36 or a combination thereof. Using the phenotypic
susceptibility assay, it was observed that the presence of
the mutation at codon 88 to serine of HIV PR causes an
increase in amprenavir susceptibility and the presence of
30 the mutations at codon 88 to serine in combination with a
mutation at codon(s) 63, 77, 46, 10, 20 and/or 36 or a
combination thereof of HIV PR causes a decrease in
nelfinavir and indinavir susceptibility while increasing

5 amprenavir susceptibility.

10 This invention also provides the means and methods to use
the resistance test vector comprising an HIV gene and
further comprising a PR mutation for drug screening. More
particularly, the invention describes the resistance test
vector comprising the HIV protease having a mutation at
codon 88 to a serine alone or in combination with
mutations at codons 10, 20, 36, 46, 63 and/or 77 or a
combination thereof for drug screening. The invention
15 further relates to novel vectors, host cells and
compositions for isolation and identification of the HIV-1
protease inhibitor resistant mutant and using such
vectors, host cells and compositions to carry out
anti-viral drug screening. This invention also relates to
20 the screening of candidate drugs for their capacity to
inhibit said mutant.

25 This invention provides a method for identifying a
compound which is capable of affecting the function of the
protease of HIV-1 comprising contacting the compound with
the polypeptide(s) comprising all or part of the HIV-1
protease, wherein codon 88 is changed to a serine residue,
wherein a positive binding indicates that the compound is
capable of affecting the function of said protease.

30

This invention also provides a method for assessing the
viral fitness of patient's virus comprising: (a)
determining the luciferase activity in the absence of drug

5 for the reference control using the susceptibility test
described above; (b) determining the luciferase activity
in the absence of drug for the patient virus sample using
the susceptibility test described above; and (c) comparing
the luciferase activity determined in step (b) with the
10 luciferase activity determined in step (a), wherein a
decrease in luciferase activity indicates a reduction in
viral fitness of the patient's virus.

15 If a resistance test vector is constructed using a patient
derived segment from a patient virus which is unfit, and
the fitness defect is due to genetic alterations in the
patient derived segment, then the virus produced from
cells transfected with the resistance test vector will
produce luciferase more slowly. This defect will be
20 manifested as reduced luciferase activity (in the absence
of drug) compared to the drug sensitive reference control,
and may be expressed as a percentage of the control.

25 In a further embodiment of the invention, PCR based
assays, including phenotypic and genotypic assays, may be
used to detect mutations at positions 20 and 88 of HIV PR,
which correlate with a reduction in viral fitness and
immunological response.

30 It is a further embodiment of this invention to provide a
means and method for measuring replication fitness for
viruses, including, but not limited to human
immunodeficiency virus (HIV), hepadnaviruses (human

5 hepatitis B virus), flaviviruses (human hepatitis C virus)
and herpesviruses (human cytomegalovirus).

10 This invention further relates to a means and method for
measuring the replication fitness of HIV-1 that exhibits
reduced drug susceptibility to reverse transcriptase
inhibitors and protease inhibitors.

15 In a further embodiment of the invention , a means and
methods are provided for measuring replication fitness for
other classes of inhibitors of HIV-1 replication,
including, but not limited to integration, virus assembly,
and virus attachment and entry.

20 This invention relates to a means and method for
identifying mutations in protease or reverse transcriptase
that alter replication fitness.

25 In a further embodiment of the invention , a means and
methods are provided for identifying mutations that alter
replication fitness for other components of HIV-1
replication, including, but not limited to integration,
virus assembly, and virus attachment and entry.

30 This invention also relates to a means and method for
quantifying the affect that specific mutations in protease
or reverse transcriptase have on replication fitness.

5 In a further embodiment of the invention , a means and
method are provided for quantifying the affect that
specific protease and reverse transcriptase mutations have
on replication fitness in other viral genes involved in
HIV-1 replication, including, but not limited to the gag,
10 pol, and envelope genes.

This invention also relates to the high incidence of
patient samples with reduced replication fitness.

15 This invention relates to the correlation between reduced
drug susceptibility and reduced replication fitness.

20 This invention further relates to the occurrence of
viruses with reduced fitness in patients receiving
protease inhibitor and/or reverse transcriptase inhibitor
treatment.

25 This invention further relates to the incidence of patient
samples with reduced replication fitness in which the
reduction in fitness is due to altered protease processing
of the gag polyprotein (p55).

30 This invention further relates to the incidence of
protease mutations in patient samples that exhibit low,
moderate or normal (wildtype) replication fitness.

5 This invention further relates to protease mutations that
are frequently observed, either alone or in combination,
in viruses that exhibit reduced replication capacity.

10 This invention also relates to the incidence of patient
samples with reduced replication fitness in which the
reduction in fitness is due to altered reverse
transcriptase activity. This invention relates to the
occurrence of viruses with reduced replication fitness in
patients failing antiretroviral drug treatment. This
15 invention further relates to a means and method for using
replication fitness measurements to guide the treatment of
HIV-1. This invention further relates to a means and
method for using replication fitness measurements to guide
the treatment of patients failing antiretroviral drug
20 treatment. This invention further relates to the means and
methods for using replication fitness measurements to
guide the treatment of patients newly infected with HIV-1.

25 This invention, provides the means and methods for using
replication fitness measurements to guide the treatment of
viral diseases, including, but not limited to HIV-1,
hepadnaviruses (human hepatitis B virus), flaviviruses
(human hepatitis C virus) and herpesviruses (human
cytomegalovirus).

30 In a further embodiment, the invention provides a method
for determining replication capacity for a patient's virus
comprising:

5

(a) introducing a resistance test vector comprising a patient derived segment and an indicator gene into a host cell;

10

(b) culturing the host cell from (a);

(c) harvesting viral particles from step (b) and infecting target host cells;

15

(d) measuring expression of the indicator gene in the target host cell, wherein the expression of the indicator gene is dependent upon the patient-derived segment;

20

(e) comparing the expression of the indicator gene from (d) with the expression of the indicator gene measured when steps (a) through (d) are carried out in a control resistance test vector; and

25

(f) normalizing the expression of the indicator gene by measuring an amount of virus in step (c).

30

As used herein, "patient-derived segment" encompasses segments derived from human and various animal species. Such species include, but are not limited to chimpanzees, horses, cattles, cats and dogs.

35

Patient-derived segments can also be incorporated into resistance test vectors using any of several alternative cloning techniques as set forth in detail in US Patent

5 Number 5,837,464 (International Publication Number WO
97/27319) which is hereby incorporated by reference. For
example, cloning via the introduction of class II
restriction sites into both the plasmid backbone and the
patient-derived segments or by uracil DNA glycosylase
10 primer cloning.

15 The patient-derived segment may be obtained by any method
of molecular cloning or gene amplification, or
modifications thereof, by introducing patient sequence
acceptor sites, as described below, at the ends of the
patient-derived segment to be introduced into the
resistance test vector. For example, in a gene
amplification method such as PCR, restriction sites
corresponding to the patient-sequence acceptor sites can
20 be incorporated at the ends of the primers used in the PCR
reaction. Similarly, in a molecular cloning method such
as cDNA cloning, said restriction sites can be
incorporated at the ends of the primers used for first or
second strand cDNA synthesis, or in a method such as
25 primer-repair of DNA, whether cloned or uncloned DNA, said
restriction sites can be incorporated into the primers
used for the repair reaction. The patient sequence
acceptor sites and primers are designed to improve the
representation of patient-derived segments. Sets of
30 resistance test vectors having designed patient sequence
acceptor sites provide representation of patient-derived
segments that may be underrepresented in one resistance
test vector alone.

5

10

15

20

25

30

"Resistance test vector" means one or more vectors which taken together contain DNA comprising a patient-derived segment and an indicator gene. Resistance test vectors are prepared as described in US Patent Number 5,837,464 (International Publication Number WO 97/27319), which is hereby incorporated by reference, by introducing patient sequence acceptor sites, amplifying or cloning patient-derived segments and inserting the amplified or cloned sequences precisely into indicator gene viral vectors at the patient sequence acceptor sites. Alternatively, a resistance test vector (also referred to as a resistance test vector system) is prepared by introducing patient sequence acceptor sites into a packaging vector, amplifying or cloning patient-derived segments and inserting the amplified or cloned sequences precisely into the packaging vector at the patient sequence acceptor sites and co-transfecting this packaging vector with an indicator gene viral vector.

"Indicator or indicator gene," as described in US Patent Number 5,837,464 (International Publication Number WO 97/27319) refers to a nucleic acid encoding a protein, DNA or RNA structure that either directly or through a reaction gives rise to a measurable or noticeable aspect, e.g. a color or light of a measurable wavelength or in the case of DNA or RNA used as an indicator a change or generation of a specific DNA or RNA structure. Preferred examples of an indicator gene is the E. coli lacZ gene

5 which encodes beta-galactosidase, the luc gene which
 encodes luciferase either from, for example, Photonis
 pyralis (the firefly) or Renilla reniformis (the sea
 pansy), the E. coli phoA gene which encodes alkaline
10 CAT gene which encodes chloramphenicol acetyltransferase.
 The indicator or indicator gene may be functional or
 non-functional as described in US Patent Number 5,837,464
 (International Publication Number WO 97/27319).

15 The phenotypic drug susceptibility and resistance tests of
 this invention may be carried out in one or more host
 cells as described in US Patent Number 5,837,464
 (International Publication Number WO 97/27319) which is
 incorporated herein by reference. Viral drug
20 susceptibility is determined as the concentration of the
 anti-viral agent at which a given percentage of indicator
 gene expression is inhibited (e.g. the IC50 for an
 anti-viral agent is the concentration at which 50% of
 indicator gene expression is inhibited). A standard curve
25 for drug susceptibility of a given anti-viral drug can be
 developed for a viral segment that is either a standard
 laboratory viral segment or from a drug-naïve patient
 (i.e. a patient who has not received any anti-viral drug)
 using the method described in the aforementioned patent.
30 Correspondingly, viral drug resistance is a decrease in
 viral drug susceptibility for a given patient compared to
 such a given standard or when making one or more
 sequential measurements in the same patient over time, as

5 determined by decreased susceptibility in virus from later time points compared to that from earlier time points.

10 The antiviral drugs being added to the test system are added at selected times depending upon the target of the antiviral drug. For example, in the case of HIV protease inhibitors, including saquinavir, ritonavir, indinavir, nelfinavir and amprenavir, they are added to packaging host cells at the time of or shortly after their transfection with a resistance test vector, at an appropriate range of concentrations. HIV reverse transcriptase inhibitors, including AZT, ddI, ddC, d4T, 3TC, abacavir, nevirapine, delavirdine and efavirenz are added to target host cells at the time of or prior to infection by the resistance test vector viral particles, at an appropriate range of concentration. Alternatively, the antiviral drugs may be present throughout the assay. The test concentration is selected from a range of concentrations which is typically between about 8×10^{-6} μM and about 2mM and more specifically for each of the following drugs: saquinavir, indinavir, nelfinavir and amprenavir, from about 2.3×10^{-5} μM to about 1.5 μM and ritonavir, from about 4.5×10^{-5} μM to about 3 μM .

30 In another embodiment of this invention, a candidate PRI antiretroviral compound is tested in the phenotypic drug susceptibility and resistance test using the resistance test vector comprising PR having a mutation at codon 88 to a serine. The candidate antiviral compound is added to the

5 test system at an appropriate range of concentrations and
at the transfection step. Alternatively, more than one
candidate antiviral compound may be tested or a candidate
antiviral compound may be tested in combination with an
10 approved antiviral drug such as AZT, ddI, ddC, d4T, 3TC,
abacavir, delavirdine, nevirapine, efavirenz, saquinavir,
ritonavir, indinavir, nelfinavir, amprenavir, or a
compound which is undergoing clinical trials such as
adefovir and ABT-378. The effectiveness of the candidate
15 antiviral will be evaluated by measuring the expression or
inhibition of the indicator gene. In another aspect of
this embodiment, the drug susceptibility and resistance
test may be used to screen for viral mutants. Following
the identification of mutants resistant to either known
antiretrovirals or candidate antiretrovirals the resistant
20 mutants are isolated and the DNA is analyzed. A library
of viral resistant mutants can thus be assembled enabling
the screening of candidate PRI antiretrovirals, alone or
in combination. This will enable one of ordinary skill to
identify effective PRI antiretrovirals and design
25 effective therapeutic regimens.

5 The structure, life cycle and genetic elements of the
viruses which could be tested in the drug susceptibility and
resistance test of this invention would be known to one of
ordinary skill in the art. It is useful to the practice of
this invention, for example, to understand the life cycle of
10 a retrovirus, as well as the viral genes required for
retrovirus rescue and infectivity. Retrovirally infected
cells shed a membrane virus containing a diploid RNA genome.
The virus, studded with an envelope glycoprotein (which
serves to determine the host range of infectivity), attaches
15 to a cellular receptor in the plasma membrane of the cell to
be infected. After receptor binding, the virus is
internalized and uncoated as it passes through the cytoplasm
of the host cell. Either on its way to the nucleus or in
the nucleus, the reverse transcriptase molecules resident in
20 the viral core drive the synthesis of the double-stranded
DNA provirus, a synthesis that is primed by the binding of
a tRNA molecule to the genomic viral RNA. The
double-stranded DNA provirus is subsequently integrated in
the genome of the host cell, where it can serve as a
25 transcriptional template for both mRNAs encoding viral
proteins and virion genomic RNA, which will be packaged into
viral core particles. On their way out of the infected
cell, core particles move through the cytoplasm, attach to
the inside of the plasma membrane of the newly infected
30 cell, and bud, taking with them tracts of membrane
containing the virally encoded envelope glycoprotein gene
product. This cycle of infection - reverse transcription,

5 transcription, translation, virion assembly, and budding -
repeats itself over and over again as infection spreads.

10 The viral RNA and, as a result, the proviral DNA encode
several cis-acting elements that are vital to the successful
completion of the viral lifecycle. The virion RNA carries
the viral promoter at its 3' end. Replicative acrobatics
place the viral promoter at the 5' end of the proviral
genome as the genome is reverse transcribed. Just 3' to the
15 5' retroviral LTR lies the viral packaging site. The
retroviral lifecycle requires the presence of virally
encoded transacting factors. The viral-RNA-dependent DNA
polymerase (*pol*)-reverse transcriptase is also contained
within the viral core and is vital to the viral life cycle
in that it is responsible for the conversion of the genomic
20 RNA to the integrative intermediate proviral DNA. The
viral envelope glycoprotein, *env*, is required for viral
attachment to the uninfected cell and for viral spread.
There are also transcriptional *trans*-activating factors, so
called transactivators, that can serve to modulate the level
25 of transcription of the integrated parental provirus.
Typically, replication-competent (non-defective) viruses are
self-contained in that they encode all of these trans-acting
factors. Their defective counterparts are not
self-contained.

30

In the case of a DNA virus, such as a hepadnavirus,
understanding the life cycle and viral genes required for

infection is useful to the practice of this invention. The process of HBV entry has not been well defined. Replication of HBV uses an RNA intermediate template. In the infected cell the first step in replication is the conversion of the asymmetric relaxed circle DNA (rc-DNA) to covalently closed circle DNA (cccDNA). This process, which occurs within the nucleus of infected liver cells, involves completion of the DNA positive-strand synthesis and ligation of the DNA ends. In the second step, the cccDNA is transcribed by the host RNA polymerase to generate a 3.5 kB RNA template (the pregenome). This pregenome is complexed with protein in the viral core. The third step involves the synthesis of the first negative-sense DNA strand by copying the pregenomic RNA using the virally encoded P protein reverse transcriptase. The P protein also serves as the minus strand DNA primer. Finally, the synthesis of the second positive-sense DNA strand occurs by copying the first DNA strand, using the P protein DNA polymerase activity and an oligomer of viral RNA as primer. The pregenome also transcribes mRNA for the major structural core proteins.

5

10

15

20

25

5

10

10

15

20

25

30

30

30

5 vectors

Resistance Test Vector Host Cell - a packaging host cell transfected with a resistance test vector

10 Target Host Cell - a host cell to be infected by a resistance test vector viral particle produced by the resistance test vector host cell

15

Resistance Test Vector

"Resistance test vector" means one or more vectors which taken together contain DNA or RNA comprising a patient-derived segment and an indicator gene. In the case where the resistance test vector comprises more than one vector the patient-derived segment may be contained in one vector and the indicator gene in a different vector. Such a resistance test vector comprising more than one vector is referred to herein as a resistance test vector system for purposes of clarity but is nevertheless understood to be a resistance test vector. The DNA or RNA of a resistance test vector may thus be contained in one or more DNA or RNA molecules. In one embodiment, the resistance test vector is made by insertion of a patient-derived segment into an indicator gene viral vector. In another embodiment, the resistance test vector is made by insertion of a patient-derived segment into a packaging vector while the indicator gene is contained in a second vector, for example

20

25

30

an indicator gene viral vector. As used herein, "patient-derived segment" refers to one or more viral segments obtained directly from a patient using various means, for example, molecular cloning or polymerase chain reaction (PCR) amplification of a population of patient-derived segments using viral DNA or complementary DNA (cDNA) prepared from viral RNA, present in the cells (e.g. peripheral blood mononuclear cells, PBMC), serum or other bodily fluids of infected patients. When a viral segment is "obtained directly" from a patient it is obtained without passage of the virus through culture, or if the virus is cultured, then by a minimum number of passages to essentially eliminate the selection of mutations in culture. The term "viral segment" refers to any functional viral sequence or viral gene encoding a gene product (e.g., a protein) that is the target of an anti-viral drug. The term "functional viral sequence" as used herein refers to any nucleic acid sequence (DNA or RNA) with functional activity such as enhancers, promoters, polyadenylation sites, sites of action of trans-acting factors, such as *tar* and RRE, packaging sequences, integration sequences, or splicing sequences. If a drug were to target more than one functional viral sequence or viral gene product then patient-derived segments corresponding to each said viral gene would be inserted in the resistance test vector. In the case of combination therapy where two or more anti-virals targeting two different functional viral sequences or viral gene products are being evaluated, patient-derived segments corresponding to each functional

5 viral sequence or viral gene product would be inserted in
the resistance test vector. The patient-derived segments
are inserted into unique restriction sites or specified
locations, called patient sequence acceptor sites, in the
indicator gene viral vector or for example, a packaging
10 vector depending on the particular construction being used
as described herein.

As used herein, "patient-derived segment" encompasses
segments derived from human and various animal species.
15 Such species include, but are not limited to chimpanzees,
horses, cattles, cats and dogs.

Patient-derived segments can also be incorporated into
resistance test vectors using any of several alternative
20 cloning techniques. For example, cloning via the
introduction of class II restriction sites into both the
plasmid backbone and the patient-derived segments or by
uracil DNA glycosylase primer cloning (refs).

25 The patient-derived segment may be obtained by any method of
molecular cloning or gene amplification, or modifications
thereof, by introducing patient sequence acceptor sites, as
described below, at the ends of the patient-derived segment
to be introduced into the resistance test vector. For
30 example, in a gene amplification method such as PCR,
restriction sites corresponding to the patient-sequence
acceptor sites can be incorporated at the ends of the
primers used in the PCR reaction. Similarly, in a molecular

5 cloning method such as cDNA cloning, said restriction sites
can be incorporated at the ends of the primers used for
first or second strand cDNA synthesis, or in a method such
as primer-repair of DNA, whether cloned or uncloned DNA,
said restriction sites can be incorporated into the primers
10 used for the repair reaction. The patient sequence acceptor
sites and primers are designed to improve the representation
of patient-derived segments. Sets of resistance test
vectors having designed patient sequence acceptor sites
provide representation of patient-derived segments that
15 would be underrepresented in one resistance test vector
alone.

Resistance test vectors are prepared by modifying an
indicator gene viral vector (described below) by introducing
20 patient sequence acceptor sites, amplifying or cloning
patient-derived segments and inserting the amplified or
cloned sequences precisely into indicator gene viral vectors
at the patient sequence acceptor sites. The resistance
test vectors are constructed from indicator gene viral
25 vectors which are in turn derived from genomic viral vectors
or subgenomic viral vectors and an indicator gene cassette,
each of which is described below. Resistance test vectors
are then introduced into a host cell. Alternatively, a
resistance test vector (also referred to as a resistance
30 test vector system) is prepared by introducing patient
sequence acceptor sites into a packaging vector, amplifying
or cloning patient-derived segments and inserting the
amplified or cloned sequences precisely into the packaging

5 vector at the patient sequence acceptor sites and
co-transfecting this packaging vector with an indicator gene
viral vector.

10 In one preferred embodiment, the resistance test vector may
be introduced into packaging host cells together with
packaging expression vectors, as defined below, to produce
resistance test vector viral particles that are used in drug
resistance and susceptibility tests that are referred to
herein as a "particle-based test." In an alternative
15 preferred embodiment, the resistance test vector may be
introduced into a host cell in the absence of packaging
expression vectors to carry out a drug resistance and
susceptibility test that is referred to herein as a
"non-particle-based test." As used herein a "packaging
20 expression vector" provides the factors, such as packaging
proteins (e.g. structural proteins such as core and envelope
polypeptides), transacting factors, or genes required by
replication-defective retrovirus or hepadnavirus. In such
a situation, a replication-competent viral genome is
25 enfeebled in a manner such that it cannot replicate on its
own. This means that, although the packaging expression
vector can produce the trans-acting or missing genes
required to rescue a defective viral genome present in a
cell containing the enfeebled genome, the enfeebled genome
30 cannot rescue itself.

Indicator or Indicator Gene

"Indicator or indicator gene" refers to a nucleic acid

5 encoding a protein, DNA or RNA structure that either
directly or through a reaction gives rise to a measurable or
noticeable aspect, e.g. a color or light of a measurable
wavelength or in the case of DNA or RNA used as an indicator
a change or generation of a specific DNA or RNA structure.
10 Preferred examples of an indicator gene is the *E. coli lacZ*
gene which encodes beta-galactosidase, the *luc* gene which
encodes luciferase either from, for example, *Photinus*
pyralis (the firefly) or *Renilla reniformis* (the sea pansy),
the *E. coli phoA* gene which encodes alkaline phosphatase,
15 green fluorescent protein and the bacterial CAT gene which
encodes chloramphenicol acetyltransferase. Additional
preferred examples of an indicator gene are secreted
proteins or cell surface proteins that are readily measured
by assay, such as radioimmunoassay (RIA), or fluorescent
20 activated cell sorting (FACS), including, for example,
growth factors, cytokines and cell surface antigens (e.g.
growth hormone, Il-2 or CD4, respectively). "Indicator
gene" is understood to also include a selection gene, also
referred to as a selectable marker. Examples of suitable
25 selectable markers for mammalian cells are dihydrofolate
reductase (DHFR), thymidine kinase, hygromycin, neomycin,
zeocin or *E. coli gpt*. In the case of the foregoing
examples of indicator genes, the indicator gene and the
patient-derived segment are discrete, i.e. distinct and
30 separate genes. In some cases a patient-derived segment may
also be used as an indicator gene. In one such embodiment
in which the patient-derived segment corresponds to more

5 than one viral gene which is the target of an anti-viral,
one of said viral genes may also serve as the indicator
gene. For example, a viral protease gene may serve as an
indicator gene by virtue of its ability to cleave a
10 chromogenic substrate or its ability to activate an inactive
zymogen which in turn cleaves a chromogenic substrate,
giving rise in each case to a color reaction. In all of the
above examples of indicator genes, the indicator gene may be
either "functional" or "non-functional" but in each case the
expression of the indicator gene in the target cell is
15 ultimately dependent upon the action of the patient-derived
segment.

Functional Indicator Gene

20 In the case of a "functional indicator gene" the indicator
gene may be capable of being expressed in a "packaging host
cell/resistance test vector host cell" as defined below,
independent of the patient-derived segment, however the
functional indicator gene could not be expressed in the
target host cell, as defined below, without the production
25 of functional resistance test vector particles and their
effective infection of the target host cell. In one
embodiment of a functional indicator gene, the indicator
gene cassette, comprising control elements and a gene
encoding an indicator protein, is inserted into the
30 indicator gene viral vector with the same or opposite
transcriptional orientation as the native or foreign
enhancer/promoter of the viral vector. One example of a
functional indicator gene in the case of HIV or HBV, places

the indicator gene and its promoter (a CMV IE enhancer/promoter) in the same or opposite transcriptional orientation as the HIV-LTR or HBV enhancer-promoter, respectively, or the CMV IE enhancer/promoter associated with the viral vector.

Non-Functional Indicator Gene

Alternatively the indicator gene, may be "non-functional" in that the indicator gene is not efficiently expressed in a packaging host cell transfected with the resistance test vector, which is then referred to a resistance test vector host cell, until it is converted into a functional indicator gene through the action of one or more of the patient-derived segment products. An indicator gene is rendered non-functional through genetic manipulation according to this invention.

1. Permuted Promoter In one embodiment an indicator gene is rendered non-functional due to the location of the promoter, in that, although the promoter is in the same transcriptional orientation as the indicator gene, it follows rather than precedes the indicator gene coding sequence. This misplaced promoter is referred to as a "permuted promoter." In addition to the permuted promoter the orientation of the non-functional indicator gene is opposite to that of the native or foreign promoter/enhancer of the viral vector. Thus the coding sequence of the non-functional indicator gene can neither be transcribed by the permuted promoter nor by the viral promoters. The

5 non-functional indicator gene and its permuted promoter is
rendered functional by the action of one or more of the
viral proteins. One example of a non-functional indicator
gene with a permuted promoter in the case of HIV, places a
T7 phage RNA polymerase promoter (herein referred to as T7
10 promoter) promoter in the 5' LTR in the same transcriptional
orientation as the indicator gene. The indicator gene
cannot be transcribed by the T7 promoter as the indicator
gene cassette is positioned upstream of the T7 promoter.
The non-functional indicator gene in the resistance test
15 vector is converted into a functional indicator gene by
reverse transcriptase upon infection of the target cells,
resulting from the repositioning of the T7 promoter, by
copying from the 5' LTR to the 3' LTR, relative to the
indicator gene coding region. Following the integration of
20 the repaired indicator gene into the target cell chromosome
by HIV integrase, a nuclear T7 RNA polymerase expressed by
the target cell transcribes the indicator gene. One example
of a non-functional indicator gene with a permuted promoter
in the case of HBV, places an enhancer-promoter region
25 downstream or 3' of the indicator gene both having the same
transcriptional orientation. The indicator gene cannot be
transcribed by the enhancer-promoter as the indicator gene
cassette is positioned upstream. The non-functional
indicator gene in the resistance test vector is converted
30 into a functional indicator gene by reverse transcription
and circularization of the HBV indicator gene viral vector
by the repositioning of the enhancer-promoter upstream
relative to the indicator gene coding region.

5 A permuted promoter may be any eukaryotic or prokaryotic
promoter which can be transcribed in the target host cell.
Preferably the promoter will be small in size to enable
insertion in the viral genome without disturbing viral
10 replication. More preferably, a promoter that is small in
size and is capable of transcription by a single subunit RNA
polymerase introduced into the target host cell, such as a
bacteriophage promoter, will be used. Examples of such
bacteriophage promoters and their cognate RNA polymerases
15 include those of phages T7, T3 and Sp6. A nuclear
localization sequence (NLS) may be attached to the RNA
polymerase to localize expression of the RNA polymerase to
the nucleus where they may be needed to transcribed the
repaired indicator gene. Such an NLS may be obtained from
any nuclear-transported protein such as the SV40 T antigen.
20 If a phage RNA polymerase is employed, an internal ribosome
entry site (IRES) such as the EMC virus 5' untranslated
region (UTR) may be added in front of the indicator gene,
for translation of the transcripts which are generally
uncapped. In the case of HIV, the permuted promoter itself
25 can be introduced at any position within the 5' LTR that is
copied to the 3' LTR during reverse transcription so long as
LTR function is not disrupted, preferably within the U5 and
R portions of the LTR, and most preferably outside of
functionally important and highly conserved regions of U5
30 and R. In the case of HBV, the permuted promoter can be
placed at any position that does not disrupt the cis acting
elements that are necessary for HBV DNA replication.
Blocking sequences may be added at the ends of the

5 resistance test vector should there be inappropriate
expression of the non-functional indicator gene due to
transfection artifacts (DNA concatenation). In the HIV
example of the permuted T7 promoter given above, such a
blocking sequence may consist of a T7 transcriptional
10 terminator, positioned to block readthrough transcription
resulting from DNA concatenation, but not transcription
resulting from repositioning of the permuted T7 promoter
from the 5' LTR to the 3' LTR during reverse transcription.

15 2. Permuted Coding Region In a second embodiment, an
indicator gene is rendered non-functional due to the
relative location of the 5' and 3' coding regions of the
indicator gene, in that, the 3' coding region precedes
rather than follows the 5' coding region. This misplaced
20 coding region is referred to as a "permuted coding region."
The orientation of the non-functional indicator gene may be
the same or opposite to that of the native or foreign
promoter/enhancer of the viral vector, as mRNA coding for a
functional indicator gene will be produced in the event of
25 either orientation. The non-functional indicator gene and
its permuted coding region is rendered functional by the
action of one or more of the patient-derived segment
products. A second example of a non-functional indicator
gene with a permuted coding region in the case of HIV,
30 places a 5' indicator gene coding region with an associated
promoter in the 3' LTR U3 region and a 3' indicator gene
coding region in an upstream location of the HIV genome,
with each coding region having the same transcriptional

5 orientation as the viral LTRs. In both examples, the 5' and
3' coding regions may also have associated splice donor and
acceptor sequences, respectively, which may be heterologous
or artificial splicing signals. The indicator gene cannot
be functionally transcribed either by the associated
10 promoter or viral promoters, as the permuted coding region
prevents the formation of functionally spliced transcripts.
The non-functional indicator gene in the resistance test
vector is converted into a functional indicator gene by
reverse transcriptase upon infection of the target cells,
15 resulting from the repositioning of the 5' and 3' indicator
gene coding regions relative to one another, by copying of
the 3' LTR to the 5' LTR. Following transcription by the
promoter associated with the 5' coding region, RNA splicing
can join the 5' and 3' coding regions to produce a
20 functional indicator gene product. One example of a
non-functional indicator gene with a permuted coding region
in the case of HBV, places a 3' indicator gene coding region
upstream or 5' of the enhancer-promoter and the 5' coding
region of the indicator gene. The transcriptional
25 orientation of the indicator gene 5' and 3' coding regions
are identical to one another, and the same as that of the
indicator gene viral vector. However, as the indicator gene
5' and 3' coding regions are permuted in the resistance test
vectors (i.e., the 5' coding region is downstream of the 3'
30 coding region), no mRNA is transcribed which can be spliced
to generate a functional indicator gene coding region.
Following reverse transcription and circularization of the
indicator gene viral vector, the indicator gene 3' coding

region is positioned downstream or 3' to the enhancer-promoter and 5' coding regions thus permitting the transcription of mRNA which can be spliced to generate a functional indicator gene coding region.

3. Inverted Intron In a third embodiment, the indicator gene is rendered non-functional through use of an "inverted intron," i.e. an intron inserted into the coding sequence of the indicator gene with a transcriptional orientation opposite to that of the indicator gene. The overall transcriptional orientation of the indicator gene cassette including its own, linked promoter, is opposite to that of the viral control elements, while the orientation of the artificial intron is the same as the viral control elements. Transcription of the indicator gene by its own linked promoter does not lead to the production of functional transcripts as the inverted intron cannot be spliced in this orientation. Transcription of the indicator gene by the viral control elements does, however, lead to the removal of the inverted intron by RNA splicing, although the indicator gene is still not functionally expressed as the resulting transcript has an antisense orientation. Following the reverse transcription of this transcript and integration of the resultant retroviral DNA, or the circularization of hepadnavirus DNA, the indicator gene can be functionally transcribed using its own linked promoter as the inverted intron has been previously removed. In this case, the indicator gene itself may contain its own functional promoter with the entire transcriptional unit oriented

5 opposite to the viral control elements. Thus the
non-functional indicator gene is in the wrong orientation to
be transcribed by the viral control elements and it cannot
be functionally transcribed by its own promoter, as the
inverted intron cannot be properly excised by splicing.
10 However, in the case of a retrovirus and HIV specifically
and hepadnaviruses, and HBV specifically, transcription by
the viral promoters (HIV LTR or HBV enhancer-promoter)
results in the removal of the inverted intron by splicing.
As a consequence of reverse transcription of the resulting
15 spliced transcript and the integration of the resulting
provirus into the host cell chromosome or circularization of
the HBV vector, the indicator gene can now be functionally
transcribed by its own promoter. The inverted intron,
consisting of a splice donor and acceptor site to remove the
20 intron, is preferably located in the coding region of the
indicator gene in order to disrupt translation of the
indicator gene. The splice donor and acceptor may be any
splice donor and acceptor. A preferred splice
donor-receptor is the CMV IE splice donor and the splice
25 acceptor of the second exon of the human alpha globin gene
("intron A").

Indicator Gene Viral Vector - Construction

As used herein, "indicator gene viral vector" refers to a
30 vector(s) comprising an indicator gene and its control
elements and one or more viral genes. The indicator gene
viral vector is assembled from an indicator gene cassette
and a "viral vector," defined below. The indicator gene

5 viral vector may additionally include an enhancer, splicing
signals, polyadenylation sequences, transcriptional
terminators, or other regulatory sequences. Additionally
the indicator gene viral vector may be functional or
nonfunctional. In the event that the viral segments which
10 are the target of the anti-viral drug are not included in
the indicator gene viral vector they are provided in a
second vector. An "indicator gene cassette" comprises an
indicator gene and control elements. "Viral vector" refers
to a vector comprising some or all of the following: viral
15 genes encoding a gene product, control sequences, viral
packaging sequences, and in the case of a retrovirus,
integration sequences. The viral vector may additionally
include one or more viral segments one or more of which may
be the target of an anti-viral drug. Two examples of a
20 viral vector which contain viral genes are referred to
herein as an "genomic viral vector" and a "subgenomic viral
vector." A "genomic viral vector" is a vector which may
comprise a deletion of a one or more viral genes to render
the virus replication incompetent, but which otherwise
25 preserves the mRNA expression and processing characteristics
of the complete virus. In one embodiment for an HIV drug
susceptibility and resistance test, the genomic viral vector
comprises the HIV *gag-pol*, *vif*, *vpr*, *tat*, *rev*, *vpu*, and *nef*
genes (some, most or all of *env* may be deleted). A
30 "subgenomic viral vector" refers to a vector comprising the
coding region of one or more viral genes which may encode
the proteins that are the target(s) of the anti-viral drug.

In the case of HIV, a preferred embodiment is a subgenomic viral vector comprising the HIV *gag-pol* gene. In the case of HBV a preferred embodiment is a subgenomic viral vector comprising the HBV P gene. In the case of HIV, two examples of proviral clones used for viral vector construction are: HXB2 (Fisher et al., (1986) *Nature*, **320**, 367-371) and NL4-3, (Adachi et al., (1986) *J. Virol.*, **59**, 284-291). In the case of HBV, a large number of full length genomic sequences have been characterized and could be used for construction of HBV viral vectors: GenBank Nos. M54923, M38636, J02203 and X59795. The viral coding genes may be under the control of a native enhancer/promoter or a foreign viral or cellular enhancer/promoter. A preferred embodiment for an HIV drug susceptibility and resistance test, is to place the genomic or subgenomic viral coding regions under the control of the native enhancer/promoter of the HIV-LTR U3 region or the CMV immediate-early (IE) enhancer/promoter. A preferred embodiment for an HBV drug susceptibility and resistance test, is to place the genomic or subgenomic viral coding regions under the control of the CMV immediate-early (IE) enhancer/promoter. In the case of an indicator gene viral vector that contains one or more viral genes which are the targets or encode proteins which are the targets of an anti-viral drug(s) then said vector contains the patient sequence acceptor sites. The patient-derived segments are inserted in the patient sequence acceptor site in the indicator gene viral vector which is then referred to as the resistance test vector, as described above.

"Patient sequence acceptor sites" are sites in a vector for insertion of patient-derived segments and said sites may be: 1) unique restriction sites introduced by site-directed mutagenesis into a vector; 2) naturally occurring unique restriction sites in the vector; or 3) selected sites into which a patient-derived segment may be inserted using alternative cloning methods (e.g. UDG cloning). In one embodiment the patient sequence acceptor site is introduced into the indicator gene viral vector. The patient sequence acceptor sites are preferably located within or near the coding region of the viral protein which is the target of the anti-viral drug. The viral sequences used for the introduction of patient sequence acceptor sites are preferably chosen so that no change, or a conservative change, is made in the amino acid coding sequence found at that position. Preferably the patient sequence acceptor sites are located within a relatively conserved region of the viral genome to facilitate introduction of the patient-derived segments. Alternatively, the patient sequence acceptor sites are located between functionally important genes or regulatory sequences. Patient-sequence acceptor sites may be located at or near regions in the viral genome that are relatively conserved to permit priming by the primer used to introduce the corresponding restriction site into the patient-derived segment. To improve the representation of patient-derived segments further, such primers may be designed as degenerate pools to accommodate viral sequence heterogeneity, or may incorporate residues such as deoxyinosine (I) which have multiple

base-pairing capabilities. Sets of resistance test vectors having patient sequence acceptor sites that define the same or overlapping restriction site intervals may be used together in the drug resistance and susceptibility tests to provide representation of patient-derived segments that contain internal restriction sites identical to a given patient sequence acceptor site, and would thus be underrepresented in either resistance test vector alone.

Host Cells

The resistance test vector is introduced into a host cell. Suitable host cells are mammalian cells. Preferred host cells are derived from human tissues and cells which are the principle targets of viral infection. In the case of HIV these include human cells such as human T cells, monocytes, macrophage, dendritic cells, Langerhans cells, hematopoietic stem cells or precursor cells, and other cells. In the case of HBV, suitable host cells include hepatoma cell lines (HepG2, Huh 7), primary human hepatocytes, mammalian cells which can be- infected by pseudotyped HBV, and other cells. Human derived host cells will assure that the anti-viral drug will enter the cell efficiently and be converted by the cellular enzymatic machinery into the metabolically relevant form of the anti-viral inhibitor. Host cells are referred to herein as a "packaging host cells," "resistance test vector host cells," or "target host cells." A "packaging host cell" refers to a host cell that provides the trans-acting factors and viral packaging proteins required by the replication defective viral vectors used herein, such

as the resistance test vectors, to produce resistance test vector viral particles. The packaging proteins may be provided for by the expression of viral genes contained within the resistance test vector itself, a packaging expression vector(s), or both. A packaging host cell is a host cell which is transfected with one or more packaging expression vectors and when transfected with a resistance test vector is then referred to herein as a "resistance test vector host cell" and is sometimes referred to as a packaging host cell/resistance test vector host cell. Preferred host cells for use as packaging host cells for HIV include 293 human embryonic kidney cells (293, Graham, F.L. et al., *J. Gen Virol.* 36: 59, 1977), BOSC23 (Pear et al., *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 90, 8392, 1993), tsa54 and tsa201 cell lines (Heinzel et al., *J.Virol.* 62, 3738, 1988), for HBV HepG2 (Galle and Theilmann, *L. Arzheim.-Forsch Drug Res.* (1990) 40, 1380-1382). (Huh, Ueda, K et al. *Virology* *1989) 169, 213-216). A "target host cell" refers to a cell to be infected by resistance test vector viral particles produced by the resistance test vector host cell in which expression or inhibition of the indicator gene takes place. Preferred host cells for use as target host cells include human T cell leukemia cell lines including Jurkat (ATCC T1B-152), H9 (ATCC HTB-176), CEM (ATCC CCL-119), HUT78 (ATCC T1B-161), and derivatives thereof.

5 This invention is illustrated in the Experimental Details
section which follows. These sections are set forth to
aid in an understanding of the invention but are not
intended to, and should not be construed to, limit in any
10 way the invention as set forth in the claims which follow
thereafter.

Experimental Details

General Materials and Methods

15 Most of the techniques used to construct vectors, and
transfect and infect cells, are widely practiced in the
art, and most practitioners are familiar with the standard
resource materials that describe specific conditions and
procedures. However, for convenience, the following
20 paragraphs may serve as a guideline.

As used herein, "replication capacity" is defined herein
is a measure of how well the virus replicates. This may
also be referred to as viral fitness. In one embodiment,
replication capacity can be measured by evaluating the
25 ability of the virus to replicate in a single round of
replication.

As used herein, "control resistance test vector" is
defined as a resistance test vector comprising a standard
30 viral sequence (for example, HXB2, PNL4-3) and an
indicator gene.

As used herein, "normalizing" is defined as standardizing

5 the amount of the expression of indicator gene measured
relative to the number of viral particles giving rise to
the expression of the indicator gene. For example,
normalization is measured by dividing the amount of
luciferase activity measured by the number of viral
10 particles measured at the time of infection.

"Plasmids" and "vectors" are designated by a lower case p
followed by letters and/or numbers. The starting plasmids
herein are either commercially available, publicly
15 available on an unrestricted basis, or can be constructed
from available plasmids in accord with published
procedures. In addition, equivalent plasmids to those
described are known in the art and will be apparent to the
ordinarily skilled artisan.

20 Construction of the vectors of the invention employs
standard ligation and restriction techniques which are
well understood in the art (see Ausubel et al., (1987)
Current Protocols in Molecular Biology, Wiley -
25 Interscience or Maniatis et al., (1992) in Molecular
Cloning: A laboratory Manual, Cold Spring Harbor
Laboratory, N.Y.). Isolated plasmids, DNA sequences, or
synthesized oligonucleotides are cleaved, tailored, and
religated in the form desired. The sequences of all DNA
30 constructs incorporating synthetic DNA were confirmed by
DNA sequence analysis (Sanger et al. (1977) Proc. Natl.
Acad. Sci. 74, 5463-5467).

5 "Digestion" of DNA refers to catalytic cleavage of the DNA
with a restriction enzyme that acts only at certain
sequences, restriction sites, in the DNA. The various
restriction enzymes used herein are commercially available
and their reaction conditions, cofactors and other
10 requirements are known to the ordinarily skilled artisan.
For analytical purposes, typically 1 μ g of plasmid or DNA
fragment is used with about 2 units of enzyme in about 20
 μ l of buffer solution. Alternatively, an excess of
restriction enzyme is used to insure complete digestion of
15 the DNA substrate. Incubation times of about one hour to
two hours at about 37°C are workable, although variations
can be tolerated. After each incubation, protein is
removed by extraction with phenol/chloroform and the
nucleic acid recovered from aqueous fractions by
20 precipitation with ethanol. If desired, size separation
of the cleaved fragments may be performed by
polyacrylamide gel or agarose gel electrophoresis using
standard techniques. A general description of size
separations is found in Methods of Enzymology 65:499-560
25 (1980).

Restriction cleaved fragments may be blunt ended by
treating with the large fragment of E. coli DNA polymerase
I (Klenow) in the presence of the four deoxynucleotide
30 triphosphates (dNTPs) using incubation times of about 15
to 25 minutes at 20°C in 50 mM Tris (pH 7.6) 50 mM NaCl, 6
mM MgCl₂, 6 mM DTT and 5-10 mM dNTPs. The Klenow fragment
fills in at 5' sticky ends but chews back protruding 3'

5 single strands, even though the four dNTPs are present.
If desired, selective repair can be performed by supplying
only one of the dNTPs, or with selected dNTPs, within the
limitations dictated by the nature of the sticky ends.
After treatment with Klenow, the mixture is extracted with
10 phenol/chloroform and ethanol precipitated. Treatment
under appropriate conditions with S1 nuclease or Bal-31
results in hydrolysis of any single-stranded portion.

15 Ligations are performed in 15-50 μ l volumes under the
following standard conditions and temperatures: 20 mM
Tris-Cl pH 7.5, 10 mM $MgCl_2$, 10 mM DTT, 33 mg/ml BSA, 10
mM- 50 mM NaCl, and either 40 μ M ATP, 0.01-0.02 (Weiss)
units T4 DNA ligase at 0°C (for "sticky end" ligation) or
20 1mM ATP, 0.3 - 0.6 (Weiss) units T4 DNA ligase at 14°C
(for "blunt end" ligation). Intermolecular "sticky end"
ligations are usually performed at 33-100 μ g/ml total DNA
concentrations (5-100 mM total end concentration).
Intermolecular blunt end ligations (usually employing a
10-30 fold molar excess of linkers) are performed at 1 μ M
25 total ends concentration.

"Transient expression" refers to unamplified expression
within about one day to two weeks of transfection. The
optimal time for transient expression of a particular
30 desired heterologous gene may vary depending on several
factors including, for example, any transacting factors
which may be employed, translational control mechanisms
and the host cell. Transient expression occurs when the

particular plasmid that has been transfected functions, i.e., is transcribed and translated. During this time the plasmid DNA which has entered the cell is transferred to the nucleus. The DNA is in a nonintegrated state, free within the nucleus. Transcription of the plasmid taken up by the cell occurs during this period. Following transfection the plasmid DNA may become degraded or diluted by cell division. Random integration within the cell chromatin occurs.

In general, vectors containing promoters and control sequences which are derived from species compatible with the host cell are used with the particular host cell. Promoters suitable for use with prokaryotic hosts illustratively include the beta-lactamase and lactose promoter systems, alkaline phosphatase, the tryptophan (trp) promoter system and hybrid promoters such as tac promoter. However, other functional bacterial promoters are suitable. In addition to prokaryotes, eukaryotic microbes such as yeast cultures may also be used. *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, or common baker's yeast is the most commonly used eukaryotic microorganism, although a number of other strains are commonly available. Promoters controlling transcription from vectors in mammalian host cells may be obtained from various sources, for example, the genomes of viruses such as: polyoma, simian virus 40 (SV40), adenovirus, retroviruses, hepatitis B virus and preferably cytomegalovirus, or from heterologous mammalian promoters, e.g. β -actin promoter. The early and late

5 promoters of the SV 40 virus are conveniently obtained as
an SV40 restriction fragment that also contains the SV40
viral origin of replication. The immediate early promoter
of the human cytomegalovirus is conveniently obtained as a
HindIII E restriction fragment. Of course, promoters from
10 the host cell or related species also are useful herein.

The vectors used herein may contain a selection gene, also
termed a selectable marker. A selection gene encodes a
protein, necessary for the survival or growth of a host
15 cell transformed with the vector. Examples of suitable
selectable markers for mammalian cells include the
dihydrofolate reductase gene (DHFR), the ornithine
decarboxylase gene, the multi-drug resistance gene (mdr),
the adenosine deaminase gene, and the glutamine synthase
20 gene. When such selectable markers are successfully
transferred into a mammalian host cell, the transformed
mammalian host cell can survive if placed under selective
pressure. There are two widely used distinct categories
of selective regimes. The first category is based on a
25 cell's metabolism and the use of a mutant cell line which
lacks the ability to grow independent of a supplemented
media. The second category is referred to as dominant
selection which refers to a selection scheme used in any
cell type and does not require the use of a mutant cell
30 line. These schemes typically use a drug to arrest growth
of a host cell. Those cells which have a novel gene would
express a protein conveying drug resistance and would
survive the selection. Examples of such dominant

5 selection use the drugs neomycin (Southern and Berg (1982)
J. Molec. Appl. Genet. 1, 327), mycophenolic acid
(Mulligan and Berg (1980) Science 209, 1422), or
hygromycin (Sugden et al. (1985) Mol. Cell. Biol. 5,
410-413). The three examples given above employ bacterial
10 genes under eukaryotic control to convey resistance to the
appropriate drug neomycin (G418 or gentamicin), ixgpt
(mycophenolic acid) or hygromycin, respectively.

15 "Transfection" means introducing DNA into a host cell so
that the DNA is expressed, whether functionally expressed
or otherwise; the DNA may also replicate either as an
extrachromosomal element or by chromosomal integration.
Unless otherwise provided, the method used herein for
transfection of the host cells is the calcium phosphate
20 co-precipitation method of Graham and van der Eb (1973)
Virology 52, 456-457. Alternative methods for
transfection are electroporation, the DEAE-dextran method,
lipofection and biolistics (Kriegler (1990) Gene Transfer
and Expression: A Laboratory Manual, Stockton Press).

25 Host cells may be transfected with the expression vectors
of the present invention and cultured in conventional
nutrient media modified as is appropriate for inducing
promoters, selecting transformants or amplifying genes.
30 Host cells are cultured in F12:DMEM (Gibco) 50:50 with
added glutamine. The culture conditions, such as
temperature, pH and the like, are those previously used
with the host cell selected for expression, and will be

5 apparent to the ordinarily skilled artisan.

10 The following examples merely illustrate the best mode now
known for practicing the invention, but should not be
construed to limit the invention. All publications and
patent applications cited in this specification are herein
incorporated by reference in their entirety as if each
individual publication or patent application were
specifically and individually indicated to be incorporated
by reference.

15

EXAMPLE 1

**Phenotypic Drug Susceptibility and Resistance Test Using
Resistance Test Vectors**

20

Phenotypic drug susceptibility and resistance tests are
carried out using the means and methods described in US
Patent Number 5,837,464 (International Publication Number
WO 97/27319) which is hereby incorporated by reference.

25

In these experiments patient-derived segment(s)
corresponding to the HIV protease and reverse
transcriptase coding regions were either patient-derived
segments amplified by the reverse transcription-polymerase
chain reaction method (RT-PCR) using viral RNA isolated
from viral particles present in the serum of HIV-infected
individuals or were mutants of wild type HIV-1 made by
site directed mutagenesis of a parental clone of
resistance test vector DNA. Isolation of viral RNA was
performed using standard procedures (e.g. RNagents Total

30

5 RNA Isolation System, Promega, Madison WI or RNazol,
Tel-Test, Friendswood, TX). The RT-PCR protocol was
divided into two steps. A retroviral reverse
transcriptase [e.g. Moloney MuLV reverse transcriptase
10 (Roche Molecular Systems, Inc., Branchburg, NJ), or avian
myeloblastosis virus (AMV) reverse transcriptase,
(Boehringer Mannheim, Indianapolis, IN)] was used to copy
viral RNA into cDNA. The cDNA was then amplified using a
thermostable DNA polymerase [e.g. Taq (Roche Molecular
Systems, Inc., Branchburg, NJ), Tth (Roche Molecular
15 Systems, Inc., Branchburg, NJ), PrimeZyme (isolated from
Thermus brockianus, Biometra, Gottingen, Germany)] or a
combination of thermostable polymerases as described for
the performance of "long PCR" (Barnes, W.M., (1994) Proc.
Natl. Acad. Sci, USA 91, 2216-2220) [e.g. Expand High
20 Fidelity PCR System (Taq + Pwo), (Boehringer Mannheim.
Indianapolis, IN) OR GeneAmp XL PCR kit (Tth + Vent),
(Roche Molecular Systems, Inc., Branchburg, NJ)].

PCR6 (Table 5, #1) is used for reverse transcription of
25 viral RNA into cDNA. The primers, ApaI primer (PDSApa,
Table 5, #2) and AgeI primer (PDSAge, Table 5, #3) used to
amplify the "test" patient-derived segments contained
sequences resulting in ApaI and AgeI recognition sites
being introduced into both ends of the PCR product,
30 respectively.

Resistance test vectors incorporating the "test"
patient-derived segments were constructed as described in
US Patent Number 5,837,464 (International Publication

5 Number WO 97/27319) (see Fig. 1) using an amplified DNA
product of 1.5 kB prepared by RT-PCR using viral RNA as a
template and oligonucleotides PCR6 (#1), PDSApa (#2) and
PDSAge (#3) as primers, followed by digestion with ApaI
10 and AgeI or the isoschizomer PinAI. To ensure that the
plasmid DNA corresponding to the resultant resistance test
vector comprises a representative sample of the HIV viral
quasi-species present in the serum of a given patient,
many (>100) independent E. coli transformants obtained in
the construction of a given resistance test vector were
15 pooled and used for the preparation of plasmid DNA.

A packaging expression vector encoding an amphotrophic
MuLV 4070A env gene product enables production in a
resistance test vector host cell of resistance test vector
20 viral particles which can efficiently infect human target
cells. Resistance test vectors encoding all HIV genes
with the exception of env were used to transfect a
packaging host cell (once transfected the host cell is
referred to as a resistance test vector host cell). The
25 packaging expression vector which encodes the amphotrophic
MuLV 4070A env gene product is used with the resistance
test vector to enable production in the resistance test
vector host cell of infectious pseudotyped resistance test
vector viral particles.

30 Resistance tests performed with resistance test vectors
were carried out using packaging host and target host
cells consisting of the human embryonic kidney cell line

5 293 (Cell Culture Facility, UC San Francisco, SF, CA) or
the Jurkat leukemic T-cell line (Arthur Weiss, UC San
Francisco, SF, CA).

10 Resistance tests were carried out with resistance test
vectors using two host cell types. Resistance test vector
viral particles were produced by a first host cell (the
resistance test vector host cell) that was prepared by
transfecting a packaging host cell with the resistance
15 test vector and the packaging expression vector. The
resistance test vector viral particles were then used to
infect a second host cell (the target host cell) in which
the expression of the indicator gene is measured (see Fig.
2).

20 The resistance test vectors containing a functional
luciferase gene cassette were constructed and host cells
were transfected with the resistance test vector DNA. The
resistant test vectors contained patient-derived reverse
transcriptase and protease DNA sequences that encode
25 proteins which were either susceptible or resistant to the
antiretroviral agents, such as nucleoside reverse
transcriptase inhibitors, non-nucleoside reverse
transcriptase inhibitors and protease inhibitors. The
resistance test vector viral particles produced by
30 transfecting the resistance test vector DNA into host
cells, either in the presence or absence of protease
inhibitors, were used to infect target host cells grown
either in the absence of NRTI or NNRTI or in the presence

5 of increasing concentrations of the drug. Luciferase
activity in infected target host cells in the presence of
drug was compared to the luciferase activity in infected
target host cells in the absence of drug. Drug resistance
was measured as the concentration of drug required to
10 inhibit by 50% the luciferase activity detected in the
absence of drug (inhibitory concentration 50%, IC₅₀).
The IC₅₀ values were determined by plotting percent drug
inhibition vs. log₁₀ drug concentration.

15 Host cells were seeded in 10-cm-diameter dishes and were
transfected one day after plating with resistance test
vector plasmid DNA and the envelope expression vector.
Transfections were performed using a calcium-phosphate
co-precipitation procedure. The cell culture media
20 containing the DNA precipitate was replaced with fresh
medium, from one to 24 hours, after transfection. Cell
culture media containing resistance test vector viral
particles was harvested one to four days after
transfection and was passed through a 0.45-mm filter
25 before being stored at -80°C. HIV capsid protein (p24)
levels in the harvested cell culture media were determined
by an EIA method as described by the manufacturer (SIAC;
Frederick, MD). Before infection, target cells (293 and
293/T) were plated in cell culture media. Control
30 infections were performed using cell culture media from
mock transfections (no DNA) or transfections containing
the resistance test vector plasmid DNA without the
envelope expression plasmid. One to three or more days

after infection the media was removed and cell lysis buffer (Promega) was added to each well. Cell lysates were assayed for luciferase activity. The inhibitory effect of the drug was determined using the following equation:

$$\% \text{ luciferase inhibition} = [1 - (\text{RLU}_{\text{luc}} [\text{drug}] / \text{RLU}_{\text{luc}})] \times 100$$

where $\text{RLU}_{\text{luc}} [\text{drug}]$ is the relative light unit of luciferase activity in infected cells in the presence of drug and RLU_{luc} is the Relative Light Unit of luciferase activity in infected cells in the absence of drug. IC₅₀ values were obtained from the sigmoidal curves that were generated from the data by plotting the percent inhibition of luciferase activity vs. the \log_{10} drug concentration. Examples of drug inhibition curves are shown in (Fig. 3).

EXAMPLE 2

An in vitro Assay Using Resistance Test Vectors And Site Directed Mutants To Correlate Phenotypes And Genotypes Associated With HIV Drug Susceptibility And Resistance

Phenotypic susceptibility analysis of patient HIV samples Resistance test vectors are constructed as described in example 1. Resistance test vectors, or clones derived from the resistance test vector pools, are tested in a phenotypic assay to determine accurately and quantitatively the level of susceptibility to a panel of anti-retroviral drugs. This panel of anti-retroviral

5 drugs may comprise members of the classes known as
nucleoside-analog reverse transcriptase inhibitors
(NRTIs), non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors
(NNRTIs), and protease inhibitors (PRIs). The panel of
10 drugs can be expanded as new drugs or new drug targets
become available. An IC50 is determined for each
resistance test vector pool for each drug tested. The
pattern of susceptibility to all of the drugs tested is
examined and compared to known patterns of susceptibility.

15 A patient sample can be further examined for genotypic
changes correlated with the pattern of susceptibility
observed.

Genotypic analysis of patient HIV samples

Resistance test vector DNAs, either pools or clones, are
20 analyzed by any of the genotyping methods described in
Example 1. In one embodiment of the invention, patient
HIV sample sequences are determined using viral RNA
purification, RT/PCR and ABI chain terminator automated
sequencing. The sequence that is determined is compared
25 to control sequences present in the database or is
compared to a sample from the patient prior to initiation
of therapy, if available. The genotype is examined for
sequences that are different from the control or
pre-treatment sequence and correlated to the observed
30 phenotype.

**Phenotypic susceptibility analysis of site directed
mutants**

5 Genotypic changes that are observed to correlate with
changes in phenotypic patterns of drug susceptibility are
evaluated by construction of resistance test vectors
containing the specific mutation on a defined, wild-type
(drug susceptible) genetic background. Mutations may be
10 incorporated alone and/or in combination with other
mutations that are thought to modulate the susceptibility
of HIV to a certain drug or class of drugs. Mutations are
introduced into the resistance test vector through any of
the widely known methods for site-directed mutagenesis.
15 In one embodiment of this invention the mega-primer PCR
method for site-directed mutagenesis is used. A
resistance test vector containing the specific mutation or
group of mutations are then tested using the phenotypic
susceptibility assay described above and the
20 susceptibility profile is compared to that of a
genetically defined wild-type (drug susceptible)
resistance test vector which lacks the specific mutations.
Observed changes in the pattern of phenotypic
susceptibility to the antiretroviral drugs tested are
25 attributed to the specific mutations introduced into the
resistance test vector.

EXAMPLE 3

**Using Resistance Test Vectors To Correlate Genotypes And
30 Phenotypes Associated With Changes in PRI Drug
Susceptibility in HIV.**

Phenotypic analysis of Patient 0732

A resistance test vector was constructed as described in

example 1 from a patient sample designated as 0732. This patient had been previously treated with nelfinavir. Isolation of viral RNA and RT/PCR was used to generate a patient derived segment that comprised viral sequences coding for all of PR and aa 1 - 313 of RT. The patient derived segment was inserted into an indicator gene viral vector to generate a resistance test vector designated RTV-0732. RTV-0732 was tested using a phenotypic susceptibility assay to determine accurately and quantitatively the level of susceptibility to a panel of anti-retroviral drugs. This panel of anti-retroviral drugs comprised members of the classes known as NRTIs (AZT, 3TC, d4T, ddI, ddC, and abacavir), NNRTIs (delavirdine, nevirapine and efavirenz), and PRIs (indinavir, nelfinavir, ritonavir, saquinavir and amprenavir). An IC50 was determined for each drug tested. Susceptibility of the patient virus to each drug was examined and compared to known patterns of susceptibility. A pattern of susceptibility to the PRIs was observed for patient sample RTV-0732 in which there was a decrease in both nelfinavir and indinavir susceptibility (increased resistance) and an increase in amprenavir susceptibility (see Fig. 4 and Table 1). Patient sample 0732 was examined further for genotypic changes associated with the pattern of susceptibility.

Determination of genotype of patient 0732

RTV-0732 DNA was analyzed by ABI chain terminator automated sequencing. The nucleotide sequence was

5 compared to the consensus sequence of a wild type clade B
HIV-1 (HIV Sequence Database Los Alamos, NM). The
nucleotide sequence was examined for sequences that are
different from the control sequence. PR mutations were
noted at positions K14R, I15V, K20T, E35D, M36I, R41K,
10 I62V, L63Q and N88S. K14R, I15V, E35D, R41K and I62V are
naturally occurring polymorphisms in HIV-1 PR and are not
associated with reduced susceptibility to any drug. M36I
has previously been described to be associated with
resistance to ritonavir and nelfinavir (Shihazi, 1998).
15 N88S has previously been described to be associated with
resistance to nelfinavir (Patick AAC, 42: 2637 (1998) and
an investigational PRI, SC55389A (Smidt, 1997).

Phenotypic analysis of Patient 627

20 A resistance test vector was constructed as described in
example 1 from a patient sample designated as 627. This
patient had been treated with indinavir. Isolation of
viral RNA and RT/PCR was used to generate a patient
derived segment that comprised viral sequences coding for
25 all of PR and aa 1 - 313 of RT. The patient derived
segment was inserted into an indicator gene viral vector
to generate a resistance test vector designated RTV-627.
RTV-627 was tested using a phenotypic susceptibility assay
to determine accurately and quantitatively the level of
30 susceptibility to a panel of anti-retroviral drugs. This
panel of anti-retroviral drugs comprised members of the
classes known as NRTIs (AZT, 3TC, d4T, ddI, ddC, and
abacavir), NNRTIs (delavirdine, nevirapine and efavirenz),

5 and PRIs (indinavir, nelfinavir, ritonavir, saquinavir and
amprenavir). An IC50 was determined for each drug tested.
Susceptibility of the patient virus to each drug was
examined and compared to known patterns of susceptibility.
A pattern of susceptibility to the PRIs was observed for
10 patient sample RTV-627 in which there was a decrease in
indinavir and nelfinavir susceptibility (increased
resistance) and an increase in amprenavir and saquinavir
susceptibility. Patient sample 627 was examined further
for genotypic changes associated with the pattern of
15 susceptibility.

Determination of genotype of patient 627

RTV-627 DNA was analyzed by ABI chain terminator automated
sequencing. The nucleotide sequence was compared to the
20 consensus sequence of a wild type clade B HIV-1 (HIV
Sequence Database Los Alamos, NM). The nucleotide
sequence was examined for sequences that are different
from the control sequence. PR mutations were noted at
positions 13I/V, E35D, M46L, L63P, I64V, I73V and N88S.
25 I13V, E35D and I64V are naturally occurring polymorphisms
in HIV-1 PR and are not associated with reduced
susceptibility to any drug. M46L has previously been
described to be associated with resistance to indinavir
and amprenavir. L63P has previously been described to be
30 associated with resistance to indinavir and nelfinavir.
N88S has previously been described to be associated with
resistance to nelfinavir (Patick, 1998) and an
investigational PRI, SC55389A (Smidt, 1997).

Phenotypic analysis of Patient 1208

A resistance test vector was constructed as described in example 1 from a patient sample designated as 1208. This patient had been previously treated with nelfinavir. Isolation of viral RNA and RT/PCR was used to generate a patient derived segment that comprised viral sequences coding for all of PR and aa 1 - 313 of RT. The patient derived segment was inserted into an indicator gene viral vector to generate a resistance test vector designated RTV-1208. RTV-1208 was tested using a phenotypic susceptibility assay to determine accurately and quantitatively the level of susceptibility to a panel of anti-retroviral drugs. This panel of anti-retroviral drugs comprised members of the classes known as NRTIs (AZT, 3TC, d4T, ddI, ddC, and abacavir), NNRTIs (delavirdine, nevirapine and efavirenz), and PRIs (indinavir, nelfinavir, ritonavir, saquinavir and amprenavir). An IC50 was determined for each drug tested. Susceptibility of the patient virus to each drug was examined and compared to known patterns of susceptibility. A pattern of susceptibility to the PRIs was observed for patient sample RTV-1208 in which there was a decrease in indinavir and nelfinavir susceptibility (increased resistance) and an increase in amprenavir susceptibility. Patient sample 1208 was examined further for genotypic changes associated with the pattern of susceptibility.

Determination of genotype of patient 1208

RTV-1208 DNA was analyzed by ABI chain terminator

5 automated sequencing. The nucleotide sequence was
compared to the consensus sequence of a wild type clade B
HIV-1 (HIV Sequence Database Los Alamos, NM). The
nucleotide sequence was examined for sequences that are
different from the control sequence. PR mutations were
10 noted at positions I62V, L63P, V77I, and N88S. I62V is a
naturally occurring polymorphism in HIV-1 PR and is not
associated with reduced susceptibility to any drug. L63P
has previously been described to be associated with
resistance to indinavir and nelfinavir. V77I has
15 previously been described to be associated with resistance
to nelfinavir. N88S has previously been described to be
associated with resistance to nelfinavir (Patick, 1998)
and an investigational PRI, SC55389A (Smidt, 1997).

20 **Phenotypic analysis of Patient 360**

A resistance test vector was constructed as described in
example 1 from a patient sample designated as 360. This
patient had been previously treated with indinavir.
Isolation of viral RNA and RT/PCR was used to generate a
25 patient derived segment that comprised viral sequences
coding for all of PR and aa 1 - 313 of RT. The patient
derived segment was inserted into an indicator gene viral
vector to generate a resistance test vector designated
RTV-360. RTV-360 was tested using a phenotypic
30 susceptibility assay to determine accurately and
quantitatively the level of susceptibility to a panel of
anti-retroviral drugs. This panel of anti-retroviral drugs
comprised members of the classes known as NRTIs (AZT, 3TC,

5 d4T, ddI, ddC, and abacavir), NNRTIs (delavirdine,
nevirapine and efavirenz), and PRIs (indinavir,
10 nelfinavir, ritonavir, saquinavir and amprenavir). An IC50
was determined for each drug tested. Susceptibility of
the patient virus to each drug was examined and compared
to known patterns of susceptibility. A pattern of
susceptibility to the PRIs was observed for patient sample
RTV-360 in which there was a decrease in indinavir and
nelfinavir susceptibility (increased resistance) and an
increase in amprenavir susceptibility. Patient sample 360
15 was examined further for genotypic changes associated with
the pattern of susceptibility.

Determination of genotype of patient 360

RTV-360 DNA was analyzed by ABI chain terminator automated
20 sequencing. The nucleotide sequence was compared to the
consensus sequence of a wild type clade B HIV-1 (HIV
Sequence Database Los Alamos, NM). The nucleotide
sequence was examined for sequences that are different
from the control sequence. PR mutations were noted at
25 positions I13V, K20M, M36V, N37A, M46I, I62V, L63P, N88S,
and I93L. I13V, N37A and I62V are naturally occurring
polymorphisms in HIV-1 PR and are not associated with
reduced susceptibility to any drug. K20M has previously
been described to be associated with resistance to
30 indinavir. M46I has previously been described to be
associated with resistance to indinavir, ritonavir,
nelfinavir and amprenavir. L63P has previously been
described to be associated with resistance to indinavir

5 and nelfinavir. N88S has previously been described to be associated with resistance to nelfinavir (Patick, 1998) and an investigational PRI, SC55389A (Smidt, 1997).

Phenotypic analysis of Patient 0910

10 A resistance test vector was constructed as described in example 1 from a patient sample designated as 0910. This patient had been previously treated with nelfinavir. Isolation of viral RNA and RT/PCR was used to generate a patient derived segment that comprised viral sequences
15 coding for all of PR and aa 1 - 313 of RT. The patient derived segment was inserted into an indicator gene viral vector to generate a resistance test vector designated RTV-0910. RTV-0910 was tested using a phenotypic susceptibility assay to determine accurately and
20 quantitatively the level of susceptibility to a panel of anti-retroviral drugs. This panel of anti-retroviral drugs comprised members of the classes known as NRTIs (AZT, 3TC, d4T, ddI, ddC, and abacavir), NNRTIs (delavirdine, nevirapine and efavirenz), and PRIs (indinavir, nelfinavir, ritonavir, saquinavir and amprenavir). An IC50
25 was determined for each drug tested. Susceptibility of the patient virus to each drug was examined and compared to known patterns of susceptibility. A pattern of susceptibility to the PRIs was observed for patient sample
30 RTV-0910 in which there was a decrease in indinavir and nelfinavir susceptibility (increased resistance) and an increase in amprenavir susceptibility. Patient sample 0910 was examined further for genotypic changes associated

5 with the pattern of susceptibility.

Determination of genotype of patient 0910

RTV-0910 DNA was analyzed by ABI chain terminator automated sequencing. The nucleotide sequence was compared to the consensus sequence of a wild type clade B HIV-1 (HIV Sequence Database Los Alamos, NM). The nucleotide sequence was examined for sequences that are different from the control sequence. PR mutations were noted at positions M46I, L63P, V77I, N88S and I93I/L. I13V, K14R, N37D and I193L are naturally occurring polymorphism in HIV-1 PR and is not associated with reduced susceptibility to any drug. V77I has previously been described to be associated with resistance to nelfinavir. M46I has previously been described to be associated with resistance to indinavir, ritonavir, nelfinavir and amprenavir. L63P has previously been described to be associated with resistance to indinavir and nelfinavir. N88S has previously been described to be associated with resistance to nelfinavir (Patick, 1998) and an investigational PRI, SC55389A (Smidt, 1997).

Phenotypic analysis of Patient 3542

A resistance test vector was constructed as described in example 1 from a patient sample designated as 3542. This patient had been treated with indinavir. Isolation of viral RNA and RT/PCR was used to generate a patient derived segment that comprised viral sequences coding for all of PR and aa 1 - 313 of RT. The patient derived

5 segment was inserted into an indicator gene viral vector
to generate a resistance test vector designated RTV-3542.
RTV-3542 was tested using a phenotypic susceptibility
assay to determine accurately and quantitatively the level
of susceptibility to a panel of anti-retroviral drugs.
10 This panel of anti-retroviral drugs comprised members of
the classes known as NRTIs (AZT, 3TC, d4T, ddI, ddC, and
abacavir), NNRTIs (delavirdine, nevirapine and efavirenz),
and PRIs (indinavir, nelfinavir, ritonavir, saquinavir and
amprenavir). An IC50 was determined for each drug tested.
15 Susceptibility of the patient virus to each drug was
examined and compared to known patterns of susceptibility.
A pattern of susceptibility to the PRIs was observed for
patient sample RTV-3542 in which there was a decrease in
indinavir, nelfinavir and ritonavir susceptibility
20 (increased resistance) and an increase in amprenavir
susceptibility. Patient sample 3542 was examined further
for genotypic changes associated with the pattern of
susceptibility.

25 Determination of genotype of patient 3542
RTV-3542 DNA was analyzed by ABI chain terminator
automated sequencing. The nucleotide sequence was
compared to the consensus sequence of a wild type clade B
HIV-1 (HIV Sequence Database Los Alamos, NM). The
30 nucleotide sequence was examined for sequences that are
different from the control sequence. PR mutations were
noted at positions I13V, K14R, N37D, M46I, L63P, N88S and
I93L. K14R and N37A/D are naturally occurring

polymorphisms in HIV-1 PR and are not associated with reduced susceptibility to any drug. M46I has previously been described to be associated with resistance to indinavir, ritonavir, nelfinavir and amprenavir. L63P has previously been described to be associated with resistance to indinavir and nelfinavir. N88S has previously been described to be associated with resistance to nelfinavir (Patick, 1998) and an investigational PRI, SC55389A (Smidt, 1997).

Phenotypic analysis of Patient 3654

A resistance test vector was constructed as described in example 1 from a patient sample designated as 3654. This patient had been previously treated with ritonavir. Isolation of viral RNA and RT/PCR was used to generate a patient derived segment that comprised viral sequences coding for all of PR and aa 1 - 313 of RT. The patient derived segment was inserted into an indicator gene viral vector to generate a resistance test vector designated RTV-3654. RTV-3654 was tested using a phenotypic susceptibility assay to determine accurately and quantitatively the level of susceptibility to a panel of anti-retroviral drugs. This panel of anti-retroviral drugs comprised members of the classes known as NRTIs (AZT, 3TC, d4T, ddI, ddC, and abacavir), NNRTIs (delavirdine, nevirapine and efavirenz), and PRIs (indinavir, nelfinavir, ritonavir, saquinavir and amprenavir). An IC50 was determined for each drug tested. Susceptibility of the patient virus to each drug was examined and compared

5 to known patterns of susceptibility. A pattern of
susceptibility to the PRIs was observed for patient sample
RTV-3654 in which there was a decrease in indinavir and
nelfinavir susceptibility (increased resistance) and an
increase in amprenavir susceptibility. Patient sample
10 3654 was examined further for genotypic changes associated
with the pattern of susceptibility.

Determination of genotype of patient 3654

RTV-3654 DNA was analyzed by ABI chain terminator
15 automated sequencing. The nucleotide sequence was
compared to the consensus sequence of a wild type clade B
HIV-1 (HIV Sequence Database Los Alamos, NM). The
nucleotide sequence was examined for sequences that are
different from the control sequence. PR mutations were
20 noted at positions I13V, R41K, M46I, L63P, V77I, N88S and
I93L. I13V, R41K and I93L are naturally occurring
polymorphism in HIV-1 PR and is not associated with
reduced susceptibility to any drug. M46I has previously
been described to be associated with resistance to
25 indinavir, ritonavir, nelfinavir and amprenavir. L63P has
previously been described to be associated with resistance
to indinavir and nelfinavir. V77I has previously been
described to be associated with resistance to nelfinavir.
N88S has previously been described to be associated with
30 resistance to an investigational PRI, SC55389A (Smidt,
1997).

5 **EXAMPLE 4**

Using Site Directed Mutants To Correlate Genotypes And Phenotypes Associated With Changes in PRI Drug Susceptibility in HIV.

Site directed mutagenesis

10 Resistance test vectors were constructed containing the
N88S mutation alone and in combination with other
substitutions in PR (L63P, V77I and M46L) known to
modulate the HIV susceptibility to PRIs. Mutations were
introduced into the resistance test vector using the
15 mega-primer PCR method for site-directed mutagenesis.
(Sakar G and Sommar SS (1994) Biotechniques 8(4),
404-407). First, a resistance test vector was constructed
that harbors a unique RsrII restriction site 590 bp
downstream of the ApaI restriction site. The 590 bp ApaI
20 - RsrII fragment thus contains the entire protease region.
This site was introduced by site-specific
oligonucleotide-directed mutagenesis using primer #4. All
subsequent mutants were constructed by fragment-exchange
of the wild-type ApaI - RsrII fragment in the parent
25 vector with the equivalent fragment carrying the
respective mutations.

A resistance test vector containing the N88S mutation
(N88S-RTV) was tested using the phenotypic susceptibility
30 assay described above and the results were compared to
that of a genetically defined resistance test vector that
was wild type at position 88. The pattern of phenotypic
susceptibility to the PRIs in the N88S-RTV was altered as

5 compared to wild type. In the context of an otherwise
wild type background (i.e. N88S mutation alone) the
N88S-RTV was more susceptible to both amprenavir and
ritonavir and slightly less susceptible to nelfinavir
compared to the wild type control RTV (see Table 2).

10

A resistance test vector containing the N88S mutation
along with the L63P mutation (L63P-N88S-RTV) was tested
using the phenotypic susceptibility assay described above
and the results were compared to that of a genetically
15 defined resistance test vector that was wild type at
positions 63 and 88. The L63P-N88S-RTV showed decreased
susceptibility to both indinavir and nelfinavir and an
increase in the susceptibility to amprenavir compared the
wild-type control RTV (see Table 2). Thus it appears that
20 the introduction of a second mutation, L63P, in addition
to N88S, results in a reduction in susceptibility to
nelfinavir and indinavir while the increased
susceptibility to amprenavir is maintained.

25

A resistance test vector containing the N88S mutation
along with the L63P mutation and the V77I mutation
(L63P-V77I-N88S-RTV) was tested using the phenotypic
susceptibility assay described above and the results were
compared to that of a genetically defined resistance test
30 vector that was wild type at positions 63 and 77 and 88.
The RTV containing mutations at these positions,
L63P-V77I-N88S-RTV, showed a decrease in susceptibility to
both indinavir and nelfinavir and an increase in the

5 susceptibility to amprenavir compared to the wild-type
control RTV (see Fig. 5 and Table 2). Thus it appears
that the introduction of a third mutation, V77I, in
addition to L63P and N88S, results in a reduction in
susceptibility to nelfinavir and indinavir while the
10 increased susceptibility to amprenavir is maintained.

The N88S mutation was also introduced into an RTV containing additional mutations at positions L63P and M46L (M46L + L63P + N88S). The RTV containing mutations at these positions, M46L-L63P-N88S-RTV showed a decrease in susceptibility to nelfinavir and a slight decrease in susceptibility to indinavir and an increase in the susceptibility to amprenavir compared to the wild-type control RTV (see Fig. 5 and Table 2). Thus it appears that the introduction of a third mutation, M46L, in addition to L63P and N88S, results in a reduction in susceptibility to nelfinavir and indinavir while the increased susceptibility to amprenavir is maintained.

25 A resistance test vector containing the N88S mutation
along with the M46L mutation, the L63P mutation, and the
V77I mutation (M46L-L63P-V77I-N88S-RTV) was tested using
the phenotypic susceptibility assay described above and
the results were compared to that of a genetically defined
30 resistance test vector that was wild type at positions 46,
63, 77 and 88. The RTV containing mutations at these four
positions, M46L-L63P-V77I-N88S-RTV showed a decrease in
susceptibility to nelfinavir and indinavir and an increase

5 in the susceptibility to amprenavir compared to the
wild-type control RTV (see Fig. 5 and Table 2). Thus it
appears that the introduction of a fourth mutation, V77I,
in addition to L63P, M46L and N88S results in a reduction
in susceptibility to nelfinavir and indinavir while the
10 increased susceptibility to amprenavir is maintained.

A resistance test vector containing the L63P mutation
(L63P-RTV) was tested using the phenotypic susceptibility
assay described above and the results were compared to
that of a genetically defined resistance test vector that
15 was wild type at position 63. The pattern of phenotypic
susceptibility to the PRIs in the L63P-RTV was similar to
wild type with no significant changes in susceptibility to
the PRIs observed.

20 The L63P mutation was also introduced into an RTV
containing an additional mutation at position V77I. The
L63P-V77I-RTV showed a slight decrease in susceptibility
to nelfinavir compared to the wild-type control RTV (see
Fig. 5 and Table 2).

EXAMPLE 5

**Predicting Response to Protease Inhibitors by
Characterization of Amino Acid 88 of HIV-1 Protease.**

[illegible]

10

15

20

25

30

5 antibodies or other specific binding proteins or
compounds. Alternatively, the amino acid at position 88
of the HIV-1 protease protein can be defined by
characterizing amplified copies of HIV-1 nucleic acid
encoding the protease protein. Amplification of the HIV-1
10 nucleic acid can be performed using a variety of
methodologies including reverse transcription-polymerase
chain reaction (RT-PCR), NASBA, SDA, RCR, or 3SR. The
nucleic acid sequence encoding HIV protease at codon 88
can be determined by direct nucleic acid sequencing using
15 various primer extension-chain termination (Sanger, ABI/PE
and Visible Genetics) or chain cleavage (Maxam and
Gilbert) methodologies or more recently developed
sequencing methods such as matrix assisted laser
desorption-ionization time of flight (MALDI-TOF) or mass
20 spectrometry (Sequenom, Gene Trace Systems).
Alternatively, the nucleic acid sequence encoding amino
acid position 88 can be evaluated using a variety of probe
hybridization methodologies, such as genechip
hybridization sequencing (Affymetrix), line probe assay
25 (LiPA; Murex), and differential hybridization (Chiron).

In a preferred embodiment of this invention, evaluation of
protease inhibitor susceptibility and of whether amino
acid position 88 of HIV-1 protease was wild type or serine
30 was carried out using a phenotypic susceptibility assay or
genotypic assay, respectively, using resistance test
vector DNA prepared from the biological sample. In one
embodiment, the plasma sample was collected, viral RNA was

5 purified and an RT-PCR methodology was used to amplify a
patient derived segment encoding the HIV-1 protease and
reverse transcriptase regions. The amplified patient
derived segments were then incorporated, via DNA ligation
10 and bacterial transformation, into an indicator gene viral
vector thereby generating a resistance test vector.
Resistance test vector DNA was isolated from the bacterial
culture and the phenotypic susceptibility assay was
carried out as described in Example 1. The results of the
phenotypic susceptibility assay with a patient sample
15 having an N88S mutation in PR is shown in Figure 4. The
nucleic acid (DNA) sequence of the patient derived HIV-1
protease and reverse transcriptase regions from patient
sample 0732 was determined using a fluorescence detection
chain termination cycle sequencing methodology (ABI/PE).
20 The method was used to determine a consensus nucleic acid
sequence representing the combination of sequences of the
mixture of HIV-1 variants existing in the subject sample
(representing the quasispecies), and to determine the
nucleic acid sequences of individual variants.

25 **Phenotypic and genotypic correlation of mutations at amino
acid 88 of HIV-1 Protease.**

Phenotypic susceptibility profiles of patient samples and
30 site directed mutants showed that amprenavir
susceptibility correlated with the presence of the N88S
mutation in HIV-1 protease. Phenotypic susceptibility
profiles of patient samples and site directed mutants

5 purified and an RT-PCR methodology was used to amplify a
patient derived segment encoding the HIV-1 protease and
reverse transcriptase regions. The amplified patient
derived segments were then incorporated, via DNA ligation
and bacterial transformation, into an indicator gene viral
10 vector thereby generating a resistance test vector.
Resistance test vector DNA was isolated from the bacterial
culture and the phenotypic susceptibility assay was
carried out as described in Example 1. The results of the
phenotypic susceptibility assay with a patient sample
15 having an N88S mutation in PR is shown in Figure 4. The
nucleic acid (DNA) sequence of the patient derived HIV-1
protease and reverse transcriptase regions from patient
sample 0732 was determined using a fluorescence detection
chain termination cycle sequencing methodology (ABI/PE).
20 The method was used to determine a consensus nucleic acid
sequence representing the combination of sequences of the
mixture of HIV-1 variants existing in the subject sample
(representing the quasispecies), and to determine the
nucleic acid sequences of individual variants.

25 **Phenotypic and genotypic correlation of mutations at amino
acid 88 of HIV-1 Protease.**

30 Phenotypic susceptibility profiles of patient samples and
site directed mutants showed that amprenavir
susceptibility correlated with the presence of the N88S
mutation in HIV-1 protease. Phenotypic susceptibility
profiles of patient samples and site directed mutants

5 showed that a significant increase in amprenavir susceptibility (decreased resistance) correlated with a mutation in the nucleic acid sequence encoding the amino acid serine (S) at position 88 of HIV-1 protease.

10 Phenotypic susceptibility profiles of patient samples and site directed mutants showed reduction in amprenavir susceptibility (decreased resistance) and a decrease in susceptibility to nelfinavir and indinavir with the amino acid serine at position 88 when the PR mutations at
15 positions 63, 77 or 46 were also present (L63P, V77I, or M46L).

EXAMPLE 6

Using Resistance test vectors and site directed mutants to
20 correlate genotypes associated with alterations in PRI susceptibility with viral fitness.

Luciferase activity measured in the absence of drug for the seven resistance test vectors constructed from the
25 patient viruses containing the N88S PR mutation ranged from 0.7 to 16% of control (Table 3). Although these viruses also contain multiple mutations in reverse transcriptase, which could also contribute to a reduction in viral fitness, the data suggest that viruses containing
30 the N88S mutation are less fit than wild type. To confirm this observation, the luciferase expression level for the site-directed mutant resistance test vectors was also examined.

5 Viruses containing N88S as the only substitution produced
only 1.0% of the luciferase activity in the absence of
drug (Table 4). This reduction was substantially
alleviated by the addition of the L63P substitution
(20.7%) or by addition of the combinations of L63P/V77I
10 (29.3%) or M46L/L63P (28.0%). The L63P or L63P/V77I
mutants had equivalent or increased relative luciferase
activity compared to wild type (163.9 and 75.6%,
respectively).

15 When the K20T substitution was added to the N88S
background, either alone or in combination with L63P, only
background levels of luciferase activity was detected.
Sequence analysis confirmed the absence of additional
mutations, which might render the vector inactive. Thus
20 the combination of the K20T and N88S substitutions
correlates with a severe defect in fitness.

EXAMPLE 7

Predicting Response to Protease Inhibitors by 25 Characterization of Amino Acid 82 of HIV-1 Protease.

30 In one embodiment of this invention, changes in the amino
acid at position 82 of the protease protein of HIV-1 are
evaluated using the following method comprising: (i)
collecting a biological sample from an HIV-1 infected
subject; (ii) evaluating whether the biological sample
contains nucleic acid encoding HIV-1 protease having a

5 valine to alanine (V82A), phenylalanine (V82F), serine (V82S), or threonine (V82T) substitution at codon 82; and (iii) determining susceptibility to protease inhibitors (PRI).

10 The biological sample comprises whole blood, blood components including peripheral mononuclear cells (PBMC), serum, plasma (prepared using various anticoagulants such as EDTA, acid citrate-dextrose, heparin), tissue biopsies, cerebral spinal fluid (CSF), or other cell, tissue or body
15 fluids. In another embodiment, the HIV-1 nucleic acid (genomic RNA) or reverse transcriptase protein can be isolated directly from the biological sample or after purification of virus particles from the biological sample. Evaluating whether the amino acid at position 82
20 of the HIV-1 protease is mutated to alanine, phenylalanine, serine, or threonine, can be performed using various methods, such as direct characterization of the viral nucleic acid encoding protease or direct characterization of the protease protein itself. Defining
25 the amino acid at position 82 of protease can be performed by direct characterization of the protease protein by conventional or novel amino acid sequencing methodologies, epitope recognition by antibodies or other specific binding proteins or compounds. Alternatively, the amino
30 acid at position 82 of the HIV-1 protease protein can be defined by characterizing amplified copies of HIV-1 nucleic acid encoding the protease protein. Amplification of the HIV-1 nucleic acid can be performed using a variety

5 of methodologies including reverse
transcription-polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR), NASBA,
SDA, RCR, or 3SR. The nucleic acid sequence encoding HIV
protease at codon 82 can be determined by direct nucleic
acid sequencing using various primer extension-chain
10 termination (Sanger, ABI/PE and Visible Genetics) or chain
cleavage (Maxam and Gilbert) methodologies or more
recently developed sequencing methods such as matrix
assisted laser desorption-ionization time of flight
(MALDI-TOF) or mass spectrometry (Sequenom, Gene Trace
15 Systems). Alternatively, the nucleic acid sequence
encoding amino acid position 82 can be evaluated using a
variety of probe hybridization methodologies, such as
genechip hybridization sequencing (Affymetrix), line probe
assay (LiPA; Murex), and differential hybridization
20 (Chiron).

In a preferred embodiment of this invention, evaluation of
protease inhibitor susceptibility and of whether amino
acid position 82 of HIV-1 protease was wild type or
alanine, phenylalanine, serine, or threonine, was carried
25 out using a phenotypic susceptibility assay or genotypic
assay, respectively, using resistance test vector DNA
prepared from the biological sample. In one embodiment,
the plasma sample was collected, viral RNA was purified
and an RT-PCR methodology was used to amplify a patient
30 derived segment encoding the HIV-1 protease and reverse
transcriptase regions. The amplified patient derived
segments were then incorporated, via DNA ligation and

5 bacterial transformation, into an indicator gene viral
vector thereby generating a resistance test vector.
Resistance test vector DNA was isolated from the bacterial
culture and the phenotypic susceptibility assay was
carried out and analyzed as described in Example 1.

10 The nucleic acid (DNA) sequence of the patient derived
HIV-1 protease and reverse transcriptase regions was
determined using a fluorescence detection chain
termination cycle sequencing methodology (ABI/PE). The
15 method was used to determine a consensus nucleic acid
sequence representing the combination of sequences of the
mixture of HIV-1 variants existing in the subject sample
(representing the quasispecies), and to determine the
nucleic acid sequences of individual variants. Genotypes
20 are analyzed as lists of amino acid differences between
virus in the patient sample and a reference laboratory
strain of HIV-1, NL4-3. Genotypes and corresponding
phenotypes (fold-change in IC50 values) are entered in a
relational database linking these two results with patient
25 information. Large datasets can then be assembled from
patient virus samples sharing particular characteristics,
such as the presence of any given mutation, or combination
of mutations or reduced susceptibility to any drug or
combination of drugs.

30 **(a) Protease inhibitor susceptibility of viruses
containing mutations at amino acid 82 of HIV-1 Protease.**

Phenotypic susceptibility profiles of 75 patient virus samples which contained a mutation at position 82 (V82A, F, S, or T), but no other primary mutations, were analyzed. According to most published guidelines, such viruses are expected to be resistant to ritonavir, nelfinavir, indinavir, and saquinavir. However, 8%, 20%, 23%, and 73% of these samples were phenotypically susceptible to these four protease inhibitors, respectively (see Table 6). Thus, particularly for indinavir and saquinavir, there was poor correlation between the presence of mutations at position 82 and drug susceptibility.

(b) Indinavir susceptibility of viruses containing combinations of mutations at amino acid 82 and one secondary mutation in HIV-1 Protease.

Indinavir resistance in viruses containing mutations at position 82 was evaluated with respect to the presence of other specific mutations. Decreased indinavir susceptibility (fold-change in IC_{50} greater than 2.5) in viruses containing V82A, F, S, or T but no other primary mutations was correlated with the presence of mutations at secondary positions. Reduced indinavir susceptibility was observed in 20 samples containing mutations at both positions 24 and 82 (100%) and in 27 samples with both 71 and 82 (100%) (See Table 7). The combination of mutations at position 82 with mutations at other positions (e.g. 54, 46, 10, and 63) also significantly increased the proportion of samples that had reduced indinavir

5 susceptibility (Table 7).

(c) Saquinavir susceptibility of viruses containing combinations of mutations at amino acid 82 and one secondary mutation in HIV-1 Protease.

10 Saquinavir resistance in viruses containing mutations at position 82 was evaluated with respect to the presence of other specific mutations. Decreased saquinavir susceptibility (fold-change in IC_{50} greater than 2.5) in viruses containing V82A, F, S, or T but no other primary
15 mutations was correlated with the presence of mutations at secondary positions. Reduced saquinavir susceptibility was observed in 4 of 5 samples containing mutations at both positions 20 and 82 (80%) and in 8 of 11 samples with both 36 and 82 (73%) (See Table 8). The combination of
20 mutations at position 82 with mutations at other positions (e.g. 24, 71, 54, and 10) also significantly increased the proportion of samples that had reduced saquinavir susceptibility (Table 8).

25 **(d) Indinavir susceptibility of viruses containing combinations of mutations at amino acid 82 and many secondary mutations in HIV-1 Protease.**

Indinavir resistance in viruses containing mutations at position 82 was evaluated with respect to the presence of
30 a defined number of other mutations. Decreased indinavir susceptibility (fold-change in IC_{50} greater than 2.5) in viruses containing V82A, F, S, or T but no other primary mutations was correlated with the number of mutations at

5 secondary positions. Reduced indinavir susceptibility was
observed in 100% of samples with V82A, F, S, or T and at
least 6 other secondary mutations (See Table 9). The
proportion of samples that had reduced indinavir
susceptibility increased significantly in samples with
10 V82A, F, S, or T combined with 3 to 5 other secondary
mutations (Table 9).

**(e) Saquinavir susceptibility of viruses containing
combinations of mutations at amino acid 82 and many
secondary mutations in HIV-1 Protease.**

15 Saquinavir resistance in viruses containing mutations at
position 82 was evaluated with respect to the presence of
a defined number of other mutations. Decreased saquinavir
susceptibility (fold-change in IC_{50} greater than 2.5) in
20 viruses containing V82A, F, S, or T but no other primary
mutations was correlated with the number of mutations at
secondary positions. Reduced saquinavir susceptibility
was observed in 60 to 76% of samples with V82A, F, S, or T
and at least 5 other secondary mutations (See Table 9).
25 The proportion of samples that had reduced saquinivir
susceptibility increased significantly in samples with
V82A, F, S, or T combined with 3 or 4 other secondary
mutations (Table 9).

EXAMPLE 8

**Predicting Response to Protease Inhibitors by
Characterization of Amino Acid 90 of HIV-1
Protease.**

In one embodiment of this invention, changes in the amino acid at position 90 of the protease protein of HIV-1 are evaluated using the following method comprising: (i) collecting a biological sample from an HIV-1 infected subject; (ii) evaluating whether the biological sample contains nucleic acid encoding HIV-1 protease having a leucine to methionine (L90M) substitution at codon 90; and (iii) determining susceptibility to protease inhibitors (PRI).

The biological sample comprises whole blood, blood components including peripheral mononuclear cells (PBMC), serum, plasma (prepared using various anticoagulants such as EDTA, acid citrate-dextrose, heparin), tissue biopsies, cerebral spinal fluid (CSF), or other cell, tissue or body fluids. In another embodiment, the HIV-1 nucleic acid (genomic RNA) or reverse transcriptase protein can be isolated directly from the biological sample or after purification of virus particles from the biological sample. Evaluating whether the amino acid at position 90 of the HIV-1 protease is mutated to methionine, can be performed using various methods, such as direct characterization of the viral nucleic acid encoding protease or direct characterization of the protease protein itself. Defining the amino acid at position 90 of protease can be performed by direct characterization of the protease protein by conventional or novel amino acid sequencing methodologies, epitope recognition by

5 antibodies or other specific binding proteins or
compounds. Alternatively, the amino acid at position 90
of the HIV-1 protease protein can be defined by
characterizing amplified copies of HIV-1 nucleic acid
encoding the protease protein. Amplification of the HIV-1
10 nucleic acid can be performed using a variety of
methodologies including reverse transcription-polymerase
chain reaction (RT-PCR), NASBA, SDA, RCR, or 3SR. The
nucleic acid sequence encoding HIV protease at codon 90
can be determined by direct nucleic acid sequencing using
15 various primer extension-chain termination (Sanger, ABI/PE
and Visible Genetics) or chain cleavage (Maxam and
Gilbert) methodologies or more recently developed
sequencing methods such as matrix assisted laser
desorption-ionization time of flight (MALDI-TOF) or mass
20 spectrometry (Sequenom, Gene Trace Systems).
Alternatively, the nucleic acid sequence encoding amino
acid position 90 can be evaluated using a variety of probe
hybridization methodologies, such as genechip
hybridization sequencing (Affymetrix), line probe assay
25 (LiPA; Murex), and differential hybridization (Chiron).

In a preferred embodiment of this invention, evaluation of
protease inhibitor susceptibility and of whether amino
acid position 90 of HIV-1 protease was wild type or
30 methionine, was carried out using a phenotypic
susceptibility assay or genotypic assay, respectively,
using resistance test vector DNA prepared from the
biological sample. In one embodiment, the plasma sample

5 was collected, viral RNA was purified and an RT-PCR
methodology was used to amplify a patient derived segment
encoding the HIV-1 protease and reverse transcriptase
regions. The amplified patient derived segments were then
incorporated, via DNA ligation and bacterial
10 transformation, into an indicator gene viral vector
thereby generating a resistance test vector. Resistance
test vector DNA was isolated from the bacterial culture
and the phenotypic susceptibility assay was carried out
and analyzed as described in Example 1.

15 The nucleic acid (DNA) sequence of the patient derived
HIV-1 protease and reverse transcriptase regions was
determined using a fluorescence detection chain
termination cycle sequencing methodology (ABI/PE). The
20 method was used to determine a consensus nucleic acid
sequence representing the combination of sequences of the
mixture of HIV-1 variants existing in the subject sample
(representing the quasispecies), and to determine the
nucleic acid sequences of individual variants. Genotypes
25 are analyzed as lists of amino acid differences between
virus in the patient sample and a reference laboratory
strain of HIV-1, NL4-3. Genotypes and corresponding
phenotypes (fold-change in IC50 values) are entered in a
relational database linking these two results with patient
30 information. Large datasets can then be assembled from
patient virus samples sharing particular characteristics,
such as the presence of any given mutation, or combination

5 of mutants, or reduced susceptibility to any drug or combination of drugs.

(a) Protease inhibitor susceptibility of viruses containing mutations at amino acid 90 of HIV-1 Protease.

10 Phenotypic susceptibility profiles of 58 patient virus samples which contained a mutation at position 90 (L90M), but no other primary mutations, were analyzed. According to most published guidelines, such viruses are expected to
15 be resistant to ritonavir, nelfinavir, indinavir, and saquinavir. However, 28%, 9%, 31%, and 47% of these samples were phenotypically susceptible to these four protease inhibitors, respectively (see Table 6). Thus, particularly for indinavir and saquinavir, there was poor
20 correlation between the presence of mutations at position 90 and drug susceptibility.

**(b) Indinavir susceptibility of viruses containing combinations of mutations at amino acid 90 and one
25 secondary mutation in HIV-1 Protease.**

Indinavir resistance in viruses containing mutations at position 90 was evaluated with respect to the presence of other specific mutations. Decreased indinavir
30 susceptibility (fold-change in IC_{50} greater than 2.5) in viruses containing L90M but no other primary mutations was correlated with the presence of mutations at secondary positions. Reduced indinavir susceptibility was observed in 17 of 19 samples containing mutations at both positions

73 and 90 (89%) and in 16 of 18 samples with both 71 and 90 (89%) (See Table 10). The combination of mutations at position 90 with mutation at position 46 also significantly increased the proportion of samples that had reduced indinavir susceptibility (Table 10).

(c) Saquinavir susceptibility of viruses containing combinations of mutations at amino acid 90 and one secondary mutation in HIV-1 Protease.

Saquinavir resistance in viruses containing mutations at position 90 was evaluated with respect to the presence of other specific mutations. Decreased saquinavir susceptibility (fold-change in IC_{50} greater than 2.5) in viruses containing L90M but no other primary mutations was correlated with the presence of mutations at secondary positions. Reduced saquinavir susceptibility was observed in 15 of 19 samples containing mutations at both positions 73 and 90 (79%) and in 14 of 18 samples with both 71 and 90 (78%) (See Table 11). The combination of mutations at position 90 with mutations at other positions (e.g. 77 and 10) also significantly increased the proportion of samples that had reduced saquinavir susceptibility (Table 1).

(d) Indinavir susceptibility of viruses containing combinations of mutations at amino acid 90 and many secondary mutations in HIV-1 Protease.

Indinavir resistance in viruses containing mutations at position 90 was evaluated with respect to the presence of a defined number of other mutations. Decreased indinavir susceptibility (fold-change in IC_{50} greater than 2.5) in viruses containing L90M but no other primary mutations was correlated with the number of mutations at secondary positions. Reduced indinavir susceptibility was observed in 100% of samples with L90M and at least 5 other secondary mutations had (See Table 12). The proportion of samples that had reduced indinavir susceptibility increased significantly in samples with L90M combined with 3 or 4 other secondary mutations (Table 12).

(e) Saquinavir susceptibility of viruses containing combinations of mutations at amino acid 90 and many secondary mutations in HIV-1 Protease.

Saquinavir resistance in viruses containing mutations at position 90 was evaluated with respect to the presence of a defined number of other mutations. Decreased saquinavir susceptibility (fold-change in IC_{50} greater than 2.5) in viruses containing L90M but no other primary mutations was correlated with the number of mutations at secondary positions. Reduced saquinavir susceptibility was observed in 100% of samples with L90M and at least 5 other secondary mutations (See Table 12). The proportion of samples that had reduced saquinivir susceptibility increased significantly in samples with L90M combined with 3 or 4 other secondary mutations (Table 12).

10

Predicting Response to Protease Inhibitors by
Characterization of Amino Acids 82 and 90 of HIV-1
Protease.

20

25

30

The biological sample comprises whole blood, blood components including peripheral mononuclear cells (PBMC), serum, plasma (prepared using various anticoagulants such as EDTA, acid citrate-dextrose, heparin), tissue biopsies, cerebral spinal fluid (CSF), or other cell, tissue or body fluids. In another embodiment, the HIV-1 nucleic acid (genomic RNA) or reverse transcriptase protein can be isolated directly from the biological sample or after purification of virus particles from the biological sample. Evaluating whether the amino acid at position 82 of the HIV-1 protease is mutated to alanine, phenylalanine, serine, or threonine or at position 90 to

methionine, can be performed using various methods, such as direct characterization of the viral nucleic acid encoding protease or direct characterization of the protease protein itself. Defining the amino acid at positions 82 and 90 of protease can be performed by direct characterization of the protease protein by conventional or novel amino acid sequencing methodologies, epitope recognition by antibodies or other specific binding proteins or compounds. Alternatively, the amino acid at positions 82 and 90 of the HIV-1 protease protein can be defined by characterizing amplified copies of HIV-1 nucleic acid encoding the protease protein. Amplification of the HIV-1 nucleic acid can be performed using a variety of methodologies including reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR), NASBA, SDA, RCR, or 3SR. The nucleic acid sequence encoding HIV protease at codons 82 and 90 can be determined by direct nucleic acid sequencing using various primer extension-chain termination (Sanger, ABI/PE and Visible Genetics) or chain cleavage (Maxam and Gilbert) methodologies or more recently developed sequencing methods such as matrix assisted laser desorption-ionization time of flight (MALDI-TOF) or mass spectrometry (Sequenom, Gene Trace Systems). Alternatively, the nucleic acid sequence encoding amino acid positions 82 and 90 can be evaluated using a variety of probe hybridization methodologies, such as genechip hybridization sequencing (Affymetrix), line probe assay (LiPA; Murex), and differential hybridization (Chiron).

5 In a preferred embodiment of this invention, evaluation of
protease inhibitor susceptibility and of whether amino
acid positions 82 and 90 of HIV-1 protease was wild type
or alanine, phenylalanine, serine, or threonine in the
case of position 82 and methionine at position 90, was
10 carried out using a phenotypic susceptibility assay or
genotypic assay, respectively, using resistance test
vector DNA prepared from the biological sample. In one
embodiment, plasma sample was collected, viral RNA was
purified and an RT-PCR methodology was used to amplify a
15 patient derived segment encoding the HIV-1 protease and
reverse transcriptase regions. The amplified patient
derived segments were then incorporated, via DNA ligation
and bacterial transformation, into an indicator gene viral
vector thereby generating a resistance test vector.
20 Resistance test vector DNA was isolated from the bacterial
culture and the phenotypic susceptibility assay was
carried out and analyzed as described in Example 1.

25 The nucleic acid (DNA) sequence of the patient derived
HIV-1 protease and reverse transcriptase regions was
determined using a fluorescence detection chain
termination cycle sequencing methodology (ABI/PE). The
method was used to determine a consensus nucleic acid
sequence representing the combination of sequences of the
30 mixture of HIV-1 variants existing in the subject sample
(representing the quasispecies), and to determine the
nucleic acid sequences of individual variants. Genotypes
are analyzed as lists of amino acid differences between

5 virus in the patient sample and a reference laboratory
strain of HIV-1, NL4-3. Genotypes and corresponding
phenotypes (fold-change in IC50 values) are entered in a
relational database linking these two results with patient
10 information. Large datasets can then be assembled from
patient virus samples sharing particular characteristics,
such as the presence of any given mutation or reduced
susceptibility to any drug or combination of drugs.

**Protease inhibitor susceptibility of viruses containing
15 mutations at amino acids 82 and 90 of HIV-1 Protease.**

Phenotypic susceptibility profiles of 33 patient virus
samples which contained mutations at positions 82 (V82A,
F, S, or T) and 90 (L90M), but no other primary mutations,
were analyzed. According to most published guidelines,
20 such viruses are expected to be resistant to ritonavir,
nelfinavir, indinavir, and saquinavir. However, 9% and
21% of these samples were phenotypically susceptible to
indinavir and saquinavir, respectively (see Table 6).
Thus, particularly for saquinavir, there was poor
25 correlation between the presence of mutations at positions
82 and 90 and drug susceptibility.

EXAMPLE 10

Measuring Replication Fitness Using Resistance Test

5 **Vectors**

 A means and method is provided for accurately measuring
 and reproducing the replication fitness of HIV-1. This
 method for measuring replication fitness is applicable to
10 other viruses, including, but not limited to
 hepadnaviruses (human hepatitis B virus), flaviviruses
 (human hepatitis C virus) and herpesviruses (human
 cytomegalovirus). This example further provides a means
 and method for measuring the replication fitness of HIV-1
15 that exhibits reduced drug susceptibility to reverse
 transcriptase inhibitors and protease inhibitors. This
 method can be used for measuring replication fitness for
 other classes of inhibitors of HIV-1 replication,
 including, but not limited to integration, virus assembly,
20 and virus attachment and entry.

 Replication fitness tests are carried out using the means
 and methods for phenotypic drug susceptibility and
 resistance tests described in US Patent Number 5,837,464
25 (International Publication Number WO 97/27319) which is
 hereby incorporated by reference.

 In these experiments patient-derived segment(s)
 corresponding to the HIV protease and reverse
30 transcriptase coding regions were either patient-derived
 segments amplified by the reverse transcription-polymerase
 chain reaction method (RT-PCR) using viral RNA isolated

from viral particles present in the serum of HIV-infected individuals or were mutants of wild type HIV-1 made by site directed mutagenesis of a parental clone of resistance test vector DNA. Resistance test vectors are also referred to as "fitness test vectors" when used to evaluate replication fitness. Isolation of viral RNA was performed using standard procedures (e.g. RNAgents Total RNA Isolation System, Promega, Madison WI or RNazol, Tel-Test, Friendswood, TX). The RT-PCR protocol was divided into two steps. A retroviral reverse transcriptase [e.g. Moloney MuLV reverse transcriptase (Roche Molecular Systems, Inc., Branchburg, NJ), or avian myeloblastosis virus (AMV) reverse transcriptase, (Boehringer Mannheim, Indianapolis, IN)] was used to copy viral RNA into cDNA. The cDNA was then amplified using a thermostable DNA polymerase [e.g. Taq (Roche Molecular Systems, Inc., Branchburg, NJ), Tth (Roche Molecular Systems, Inc., Branchburg, NJ), PrimeZyme (isolated from *Thermus brockianus*, Biometra, Gottingen, Germany)] or a combination of thermostable polymerases as described for the performance of "long PCR" (Barnes, W.M., (1994) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci, USA 91, 2216-2220) [e.g. Expand High Fidelity PCR System (Taq + Pwo), (Boehringer Mannheim, Indianapolis, IN) OR GeneAmp XL PCR kit (Tth + Vent), (Roche Molecular Systems, Inc., Branchburg, NJ)].

PCR6 (Table 5, #1) is used for reverse transcription of viral RNA into cDNA. The primers, ApaI primer (PDSApa,

5 Table 5, #2) and AgeI primer (PDSAge, Table 5, #3) used to
amplify the "test" patient-derived segments contained
sequences resulting in ApaI and AgeI recognition sites
being introduced into both ends of the PCR product,
respectively.

10

Fitness test vectors incorporating the "test"
patient-derived segments were constructed as described in
US Patent Number 5,837,464 (International Publication
15 Number WO 97/27319) (see Fig. 1) using an amplified DNA
product of 1.5 kB prepared by RT-PCR using viral RNA as a
template and oligonucleotides PCR6 (#1), PDSApa (#2) and
PDSAge (#3) as primers, followed by digestion with ApaI
and AgeI or the isoschizomer PinA1. To ensure that the
20 plasmid DNA corresponding to the resultant fitness test
vector comprises a representative sample of the HIV viral
quasi-species present in the serum of a given patient,
many (>100) independent E. coli transformants obtained in
the construction of a given fitness test vector were
25 pooled and used for the preparation of plasmid DNA.

A packaging expression vector encoding an amphotrophic
MuLV 4070A env gene product enables production in a
fitness test vector host cell of fitness test vector viral
30 particles which can efficiently infect human target cells.
Fitness test vectors encoding all HIV genes with the
exception of env were used to transfect a packaging host

5 cell (once transfected the host cell is referred to as a
fitness test vector host cell). The packaging expression
vector which encodes the amphotrophic MuLV 4070A env gene
product is used with the resistance test vector to enable
10 production in the fitness test vector host cell of
infectious pseudotyped fitness test vector viral
particles.

Fitness tests performed with fitness test vectors were
carried out using packaging host and target host cells
15 consisting of the human embryonic kidney cell line 293
(Cell Culture Facility, UC San Francisco, SF, CA)..

Fitness tests were carried out with fitness test vectors
using two host cell types. Fitness test vector viral
20 particles were produced by a first host cell (the fitness
test vector host cell) that was prepared by transfecting a
packaging host cell with the fitness test vector and the
packaging expression vector. The fitness test vector
viral particles were then used to infect a second host
25 cell (the target host cell) in which the expression of the
indicator gene is measured (see Fig. A).

The fitness test vectors containing a functional
luciferase gene cassette were constructed and host cells
30 were transfected with the fitness test vector DNA. The
fitness test vectors contained patient-derived reverse
transcriptase and protease DNA sequences that encode

5 proteins which were either susceptible or resistant to the antiretroviral agents, such as nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors, non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors and protease inhibitors._

10 The amount of luciferase activity detected in the infected cells is used as a direct measure of "infectivity", "replication capacity" or "fitness", i.e. the ability of the virus to complete a single round of replication. Relative fitness is assessed by comparing the amount of
15 luciferase activity produced by patient derived viruses to the amount of luciferase activity produced by a well-characterized reference virus (wildtype) derived from a molecular clone of HIV-1, for example NL4-3 or HXB2. Fitness measurements are expressed as a percent of the
20 reference, for example 25%, 50%, 75%, 100% or 125% of reference (Figure B, C).

Host cells were seeded in 10-cm-diameter dishes and were transfected one day after plating with fitness test vector
25 plasmid DNA and the envelope expression vector. Transfections were performed using a calcium-phosphate co-precipitation procedure. The cell culture media containing the DNA precipitate was replaced with fresh medium, from one to 24 hours, after transfection. Cell
30 culture media containing fitness test vector viral particles was harvested one to four days after transfection and was passed through a 0.45-mm filter

5 before being stored at -80°C. HIV capsid protein (p24)
levels in the harvested cell culture media were determined
by an EIA method as described by the manufacturer (SIAC;
Frederick, MD). Before infection, target cells (293 and
293/T) were plated in cell culture media. Control
10 infections were performed using cell culture media from
mock transfections (no DNA) or transfections containing
the fitness test vector plasmid DNA without the envelope
expression plasmid. One to three or more days after
infection the media was removed and cell lysis buffer
15 (Promega) was added to each well. Cell lysates were
assayed for luciferase activity. Alternatively, cells
were lysed and luciferase was measured by adding Steady-
Glo (Promega) reagent directly to each well without
aspirating the culture media from the well.

Example 11

Measuring Replication Fitness of Viruses with Deficiencies in Reverse Transcriptase Activity

25 A means and method is provided for identifying mutations
in reverse transcriptase that alter replication fitness.
A means and method is provided for identifying mutations
that alter replication fitness and can be used to identify
30 mutations associated with other aspects of HIV-1
replication, including, but not limited to integration,
virus assembly, and virus attachment and entry. This

example also provides a means and method for quantifying the affect that specific mutations reverse transcriptase have on replication fitness. A means and method for quantifying the affect that specific protease and reverse transcriptase mutations have on replication fitness to mutations in other viral genes involved in HIV-1 replication, including, but not limited to the gag, pol, and envelope genes is also provided.

Fitness test vectors were constructed as described in example 10. Fitness test vectors derived from patient samples or clones derived from the fitness test vector pools, or fitness test vectors were engineered by site directed mutagenesis to contain specific mutations, and were tested in a fitness assay to determine accurately and quantitatively the relative fitness compared to a well-characterized reference standard. A patient sample was examined for increased or decreased reverse transcriptase activity and correlated with the relative fitness observed (Figure C).

Reverse transcriptase activity of patient HIV samples

Reverse transcriptase activity can be measured by any number of widely used assay procedures, including but not limited to homopolymeric extension using (e.g. oligo dT:poly rC) or real time PCR based on molecular beacons (reference Kramer) or 5'exonuclease activity (Lie and Petropoulos, 1996). In one embodiment, virion associated reverse transcriptase activity was measured using a

5 quantitative PCR assay that detects the 5' exonuclease
activity associated with thermo-stable DNA polymerases
(Figure C). In one embodiment of the invention, the
fitness of the patient virus was compared to a reference
virus to determine the relative fitness compared to
10 "wildtype" viruses that have not been exposed to reverse
transcriptase inhibitor drugs. In another embodiment, the
fitness of the patient virus was compared to viruses
collected from the same patient at different timepoints,
for example prior to initiating therapy, before or after
15 changes in drug treatment, or before or after changes in
virologic (RNA copy number), immunologic (CD4 T-cells), or
clinical (opportunistic infection) markers of disease
progression.

20 **Genotypic analysis of patient HIV samples**

Fitness test vector DNAs, either pools or clones, are
analyzed by any of the genotyping methods described in
Example 1. In one embodiment of the invention, patient
HIV sample sequences were determined using viral RNA
25 purification, RT/PCR and ABI chain terminator automated
sequencing. The sequence was determined and compared to
reference sequences present in the database or compared to
a sample from the patient prior to initiation of therapy.
The genotype was examined for sequences that are different
30 from the reference or pre-treatment sequence and
correlated to the observed fitness.

5 **Fitness analysis of site directed mutants**

Genotypic changes that are observed to correlate with changes in fitness were evaluated by construction of fitness vectors containing the specific mutation on a defined, wild-type (drug susceptible) genetic background. Mutations may be incorporated alone and/or in combination with other mutations that are thought to modulate the fitness of a virus. Mutations were introduced into the fitness test vector through any of the widely known methods for site-directed mutagenesis. In one embodiment of this invention the mega-primer PCR method for site-directed mutagenesis is used. A fitness test vector containing the specific mutation or group of mutations were then tested using the fitness assay described in Example 10 and the fitness was compared to that of a genetically defined wild-type (drug susceptible) fitness test vector which lacks the specific mutations. Observed changes in fitness are attributed to the specific mutations introduced into the resistance test vector. In several related embodiments of the invention, fitness test vectors containing site directed mutations in reverse transcriptase that result in amino acid substitutions at position 190 (G190A, G190S, G190C, G190E, G190V, G190T) and that display different amounts of reverse transcriptase activity were constructed and tested for fitness (Figure D). The fitness results were correlated with specific reverse transcriptase amino acid

5 substitutions and fitness.

Example 12

**Measuring Replication Fitness of Viruses with
Deficiencies in Protease Activity**

10

A means and method for identifying mutations in protease that alter replication fitness is provided.

15

This example provides the means and methods for identifying mutations that alter replication fitness for various components of HIV-1 replication, including, but not limited to integration, virus assembly, and virus attachment and entry. This example also provides a means and method for quantifying the affect that specific mutations in protease or reverse transcriptase have on replication fitness. This method can be used for quantifying the effect that specific protease mutations have on replication fitness and can be used to quantify the effect of other mutations in other viral genes involved in HIV-1 replication, including, but not limited to the gag, pol, and envelope genes.

20

25

30

Fitness test vectors were constructed as described in example 10. Fitness test vectors derived from patient samples or clones derived from the fitness test vector pools, or fitness test vectors engineered by site directed mutagenesis to contain specific mutations, were tested in

5 a fitness assay to determine accurately and quantitatively
the relative fitness compared to a well-characterized
reference standard. A patient sample was examined further
for increased or decreased protease activity correlated
with the relative fitness observed (Figure C).

10 **Protease activity of patient HIV samples**

Protease activity can be measured by any number of widely
used assay procedures, including but not limited to in
vitro reactions that measure protease cleavage activity
15 (reference Erickson). In one embodiment, protease
cleavage of the gag polyprotein (p55) was measured by
Western blot analysis using an anti-capsid (p24) antibody
(Figure C). In one embodiment of the invention, the
fitness of the patient virus was compared to a reference
20 virus to determine the relative fitness compared to
"wildtype" viruses that have not been exposed to protease
inhibitor drugs. In another embodiment, the fitness of
the patient virus was compared to viruses collected from
the same patient at different timepoints, for example
25 prior to initiating therapy, before or after changes in
drug treatment, or before or after changes in virologic
(RNA copy number), immunologic (CD4 T-cells), or clinical
(opportunistic infection) markers of disease progression.

30 **Genotypic analysis of patient HIV samples**

Fitness test vector DNAs, either pools or clones, are
analyzed by any of the genotyping methods described in

5 Example 1. In one embodiment of the invention, patient
HIV sample sequences were determined using viral RNA
purification, RT/PCR and ABI chain terminator automated
sequencing. The sequence was determined and compared to
reference sequences present in the database or compared to
10 a sample from the patient prior to initiation of therapy,
if available. The genotype was examined for sequences
that are different from the reference or pre-treatment
sequence and correlated to the observed fitness.

15 **Fitness analysis of site directed mutants**

Genotypic changes that are observed to correlate with
changes in fitness are evaluated by construction of
fitness vectors containing the specific mutation on a
20 defined, wild-type (drug susceptible) genetic background.
Mutations may be incorporated alone and/or in combination
with other mutations that are thought to modulate the
fitness of a virus. Mutations are introduced into the
fitness test vector through any of the widely known
25 methods for site-directed mutagenesis. In one embodiment
of this invention the mega-primer PCR method for
site-directed mutagenesis is used. A fitness test vector
containing the specific mutation or group of mutations are
then tested using the fitness assay described in Example
30 10 and the fitness is compared to that of a genetically
defined wild-type (drug susceptible) fitness test vector
which lacks the specific mutations. Observed changes in

5 fitness are attributed to the specific mutations
introduced into the fitness test vector. In several
related embodiments of the invention, fitness test vectors
containing site directed mutations in reverse protease
that result in amino acid substitutions at positions 30,
10 63, 77, 90 (list from Figure E) and that display different
amounts of protease activity are constructed and tested
for fitness (Figure E). The fitness results enable the
correlation between specific protease amino acid
substitutions and changes in viral fitness.

15 **Example 13**

Measuring Replication Fitness and Drug Susceptibility in a Large Patient Population

20 This example describes the high incidence of patient
samples with reduced replication fitness. This example
also describes the general correlation between reduced
drug susceptibility and reduced replication fitness. This
example further describes the occurrence of viruses with
25 reduced fitness in patients receiving protease inhibitor
and/or reverse transcriptase inhibitor treatment. This
example further describes the incidence of patient samples
with reduced replication fitness in which the reduction in
fitness is due to altered protease processing of the gag
30 polyprotein (p55). This example further describes the
incidence of protease mutations in patient samples that
exhibit low, moderate or normal (wildtype) replication

5 fitness. This example further describes protease mutations
that are frequently observed, either alone or in
combination, in viruses that exhibit reduced replication
capacity. This example also describes the incidence of
10 patient samples with reduced replication fitness in which
the reduction in fitness is due to altered reverse
transcriptase activity. This example describes the
occurrence of viruses with reduced replication fitness in
patients failing antiretroviral drug treatment.

15 Fitness/resistance test vectors were constructed as
described in example 10. Fitness and drug susceptibility
was measured in 134 random patient samples that were
received for routing phenotypic testing by the ViroLogic
Clinical Reference Laboratory. Fitness assays were
20 performed as described in Example 10. Drug susceptibility
testing and genotyping of the protease region was
performed as described in Example 1. Reverse
transcriptase activity was measured as described in
Example 11. Protease processing was measured as described
25 in Example 12.

Drug susceptibility of patient viruses

Reduced drug susceptibility was observed for a majority of
the patient virus samples (Table A). XX percent of the
30 viruses exhibited large (define as >10X of the reference)
reductions in susceptibility to one or more NRTI drugs. YY%
of the viruses exhibited large reductions in susceptibility

5 to one or more NNRTI drugs. 22% of the viruses exhibited large reductions in susceptibility to one or more PRI drugs.

Fitness of patient viruses

Reduced replication fitness was observed for a majority of
10 the patient virus samples (Table A). Forty one percent of the viruses exhibited large reductions in replication fitness (<25% of the reference). Another 45% had moderate reductions (between 25-75% of the reference) in replication fitness. A minority of the patient samples (14%) displayed
15 replication fitness that approached or exceeded "wildtype" levels (>75% of the reference). Viruses with reduced drug susceptibility, were much more likely to display reduced replication fitness (Figures F, G, H, and I).

20 Protease Mutations in patient viruses

Greater than 10 mutations in protease were observed in a majority of the patient virus samples (Table A). Viruses with reduced fitness were much more likely to contain 10 or more protease mutations (Figure I). Sixty two percent of
25 the viruses that exhibited large reductions in replication fitness (<25% of the reference) contained 10 or more protease mutations. Twenty two percent of the viruses with moderate reductions (between 25-75% of the reference) in fitness contained 10 or more protease mutations. Only 5% of
30 the viruses that displayed replication fitness that approached or exceeded "wildtype" levels (>75% of the reference) contained 10 or more protease mutations (Table A). Certain protease mutations either alone (D30N) or in

5 combination (L90M plus K20T, or M46I, or 73, or N88D) were observed at high incidences in viruses with reduced fitness (Figures I and J).

Protease processing of patient viruses

10 Reduced protease processing of the p55 gag polyprotein was observed in a majority of the patient virus samples (Table A). Viruses with reduced fitness were much more likely to display reduced protease processing; defined as having detectable amounts of the p41 intermediate cleavage product
15 (Figures F, I and K). Seventy one percent of the viruses that exhibited large reductions in replication fitness (<25% of the reference) displayed reduced protease processing. Eighteen percent of the viruses with moderate fitness reductions (between 25-75% of the reference) displayed
20 reduced protease processing. Only 10% of the viruses that displayed replication fitness that approached or exceeded "wildtype" levels (>75% of the reference) exhibited reduced protease processing (Table A). Certain protease mutations (D30N, M46I/L, G48V, I54L/A/S/T/V, and I84V) were observed
25 at high incidences in viruses with reduced protease processing of the p55 gag polyprotein (Figure L).

Reverse transcriptase of patient viruses

Reduced reverse transcriptase activity processing was
30 observed in a minority of the patient virus samples (Table A). Viruses with reduced fitness were much more likely to display reduced reverse transcriptase activity. Fourteen percent of the viruses that exhibited large reductions in

5 replication fitness (<25% of the reference) displayed
reduced reverse transcriptase activity. Only 2% of the
viruses with moderate fitness reductions (between 25-75% of
the reference) displayed reduced reverse transcriptase
activity. None of the viruses that displayed replication
10 fitness that approached or exceeded "wildtype" levels (>75%
of the reference) exhibited reduced reverse transcriptase
activity.

Example 14

15 Measuring Replication Fitness to Guide Treatment Decisions

A means and method for using replication fitness
measurements to guide the treatment of HIV-1 is provided.
This example further provides a means and method for using
20 replication fitness measurements to guide the treatment of
patients failing antiretroviral drug treatment. This
example further provides the means and methods for using
replication fitness measurements to guide the treatment of
patients newly infected with HIV-1.

25

**Guiding treatment of patients with multi-drug resistant
virus:** Fitness/resistance test vectors were constructed as
described in example 10. Fitness and drug susceptibility
were measured on serial longitudinal samples collected
30 weekly for 12 weeks from 18 patients. These patients were
considered failing a protease inhibitor (typically
indinavir) containing regimen and had incomplete suppression
of virus replication based on routine viral load testing

5 (>2,500 copies/mL). Phenotypic drug susceptibility testing indicated that these patient viruses were multi-drug resistant. Each patient agreed to interrupt therapy for a period of at least 12 weeks. Phenotypic drug susceptibility assays were performed as described in Example 1 on serial
10 samples collected just prior to interrupting therapy and weekly during the period of interruption. Fitness assays were performed as described in Example 10 on serial samples collected just prior to interrupting therapy and weekly during the period of interruption. Protease processing was
15 measured as described in Example 12.

Of the 18 patients that interrupted therapy, 16 patients had resistant viruses that regained susceptibility to antiretroviral drugs during the period of treatment
20 interruption. The phenotypic test results of a representative patient are shown in Figure M. Typically, susceptibility returned to all drug classes simultaneously, consistent with the re-emergence of a minor population of drug sensitive virus. In the representative example shown
25 in Figure M, drug sensitivity was abruptly restored between weeks 9 and 10. Genotypic analysis (DNA sequence of protease and reverse transcriptase) are also consistent with the re-emergence of a drug sensitive virus. These data show the loss of most or all drug resistance mutation
30 simultaneously (data not shown). The data are not consistent with random back mutations. Back mutations would predict that restored susceptibility to drugs would occur unevenly for different drug classes and/or within a drugs

5 within the same class.

Generally, the re-emergence of the drug susceptible virus was also accompanied by a simultaneous increase in replication fitness. This relationship is clearly evident
10 for the representative virus (Figure N). Several other examples with less frequent timepoints are shown in Figure O. Virus from patients that did not revert to drug susceptibility after interruption generally did not exhibit an increase in replication fitness, nor did viruses from
15 patients that did not interrupt treatment (Figures O). The data indicate that the drug sensitive virus that re-emerged after treatment interruption is able to replicate better than the drug resistant virus that was present before treatment was interrupted. The re-emergence of drug
20 susceptible virus in this group of patients was also accompanied by an increase in viral load and a decrease in DC4 T-cells, indicators of disease progression. Thus, fitness information can be used to guide treatment of patients that harbor multi-drug resistant virus and are
25 considering treatment interruption. If the patient virus is drug resistant but has low replication capacity, the patient and the physician should consider continuing drug treatment to prevent the re-emergence of a drug sensitive virus with higher replication capacity and greater pathogenicity.
30 Alternatively, if the patient virus is drug resistant and has high replication capacity, the patient and the physician may consider interrupting treatment to spare the patient from the harmful and unpleasant side effects of

5 antiretroviral drugs that are not providing clinical benefit.

Furthermore, physicians may choose to perform routine replication fitness assays for patients that have multi-drug resistant virus. This assay could be used to monitor the replication fitness of patient viruses when complete suppression of virus replication is not possible due to multi-drug resistance. The assay would be used to guide treatment decisions that prevent the drug resistant virus with low replication fitness from increasing its replication fitness. In this way, physicians may prolong the usefulness of antiretroviral drugs despite the presence of drug resistant virus in the patient.

20 **Guiding treatment of newly infected patients:**

Patients that maintain high virus loads (setpoint) after acute infection are more likely to exhibit accelerated disease progression. Therefore, it is advantageous for this class of patient to initiate antiretroviral drug treatment as soon as possible after diagnosis with HIV-1 infection. In conjunction with viral load, fitness measurements of viruses in newly infected patients may provide a useful measurement to identify those individuals that will develop elevated setpoints after primary infection and consequently are likely to exhibit accelerated disease progression. Fitness measurements may guide the decision to treat immediately after diagnosis or at some later time point.

10

10

[illegible]

)

[illegible]

Q

154
-155-

- 5 Table 2: PRI susceptibility of site-directed mutants in PR. Mutations were introduced into the drug sensitive reference resistance test vector and the susceptibility to PRIs was determined.

00501899-061200

Table 4: Relative luciferase activity levels for resistance test vectors containing site-directed mutations. The luciferase activity (relative light units, RLU) measured in the absence of drug for the mutant was compared to that of the drug sensitive reference control from the same assay run, and expressed as a percentage of control. These values are from one to five assays each, and each value was obtained using an independent clone for mutants which were tested multiple times. All the constructs that contain the N88S mutations in PR were found to have reduced luciferase activity compared to control. All the constructs with the K20T mutation were essentially inactive in the assay.

[illegible]

a

5 Table 5: Oligonucleotide primers used for PCR amplification and for generating site-directed mutants.

10

15

20

25

30

00501809 061200

a

5 Detailed Description of the Invention

Table 6. PRI Susceptibility (Fold Change <2.5) of Viruses with Mutations at 82 and/or 90

Percent of viruses with indicated primary mutation(s) which are drug sensitive (fold change in IC50 < 2.5)			
drug	V82A/F/S/T	L90M	V82A/F/S/T and L90M
RTV	8.0	27.6	3.0
NFV	20.0	8.6	3.0
IDV	22.7	31.0	9.1
AMP	53.3	65.5	33.3
SQV	73.3	46.6	21.2

Table 7. Correlation Between 82A/F/S/T, Secondary Mutations, and IDV Susceptibility.

position	n	% FC > 2.5	chi square p
24	20	100%	<0.005
71	27	100%	<0.0001
54	38	95%	<0.0001
46	35	89%	<0.01
10	47	83%	<0.05
63	72	79%	<0.05
82	75	77%	

all virus with V82A/F/S/T and no other primary mutations.

5 **Table 8. Correlation Between 82A/F/S/T, Secondary Mutations, and SQV Susceptibility.**

position	n	% FC > 2.5	chi square p
20	5	80%	<0.001
36	11	73%	<0.001
24	20	65%	<0.0001
71	27	52%	<0.0001
54	38	47%	<0.0001
10	47	40%	<0.001
82	75	27%	

all virus

20 **Table 9. Association Between SQV and IDV Susceptibility, V82A/F/S/T, and Number of Resistance Associated Mutations**

Number of secondary mutations	Number of samples	% with IDV FC > 2.5	% with SQV FC > 2.5
1	75	77	27
2	67	82	30
3	51	88	39
4	38	95	50
5	25	96	60
6	17	100	76
7	5	100	60

5

Table 10. Correlation Between L90M, Secondary Mutations, and IDV Susceptibility.

	position	n	% FC > 2.5	chi square p
	73	19	89%	<0.01
10	71	18	89%	<0.001
	46	25	88%	<0.05
	90	58	69%	

15

all viruses with L90M and

Table 11. Correlation Between L90M, Secondary Mutations, and SQV Susceptibility.

	position	n	% FC > 2.5	chi square p
	73	19	79%	<0.01
20	71	18	78%	<0.001
	77	25	76%	<0.05
	10	34	65%	<0.05
	90	58	55%	

25

all virses

	Number of secondary mutations	Number of samples	% with IDV FC > 2.5	% with SQV FC > 2.5
10	0	58	69	53
	1	57	70	47
	2	56	70	48
	3	41	80	68
	4	31	87	77
15	5	14	100	100
	6	6	100	100

Table 1

Sample ID	Experience	Fold Change vs. Reference						PR Mutations
		Prior PRI	SQV	IDV	RTV	NFV	AMP	
0732	NFV	0.73	2.11	1.72	8.92	0.08	K14R, I15V, K20T, E35D, M36I, R41K, I62V, L63Q, N88S	
627	IDV	0.26	6.16	1.50	21.06	0.09	I13V, E35D, M46L, L63P, I64V, I73V, N88S	
1208	NFV	1.55	3.15	1.22	11.06	0.10	I62V, L63P, V77I, N88S	
360	IDV	1.88	6.31	1.49	29.95	0.15	I13V, K20M, M36V, N37A, M46I, I62V, L63P, N88S, I93L	
0910	NFV	1.41	5.47	1.85	16.76	0.16	M46I, L63P, V77I, N88S, I93L	
3542	IDV	1.28	7.61	3.36	24.67	0.16	I13V, K14R, N37D, M46I, L63P, N88S, I93L	
3654		1.80	7.56	1.95	18.61	0.20	I13V, R41K, M46I, L63P, V77I, N88S, I93L	

Fold Change Limits: **>2.5** **<0.4**

103
191

09591899-061200

9

Table 2

Site-Directed Mutations	Fold Change vs. reference				
	SQV	IDV	RTV	NFV	AMP
L63P	1.04	1.12	1.27	1.43	1.06
L63P, V77I	1.24	1.72	1.73	2.49	0.91
N88S	0.47	1.56	0.36	2.39	0.04
L63P, N88S	1.44	2.56	0.77	5.10	0.11
L63P, V77I, N88S	1.24	3.09	1.39	12.89	0.08
M46L, L63P, N88S	1.15	2.30	0.85	8.18	0.12
M46L, L63P, V77I, N88S	1.45	2.97	1.33	12.24	0.14

FOLD CHANGE LIMITS: <0.4 >2.5

Table 3

Sample ID	PR Mutations	Relative Luciferase Activity (% of control)
0732	K14R, I15V, K20T, E35D, M36I, R41K, I62V, L63Q, N88S	8.5
627	I13I/V, E35D, M46L, L63P, I64V, I73V, N88S	0.7
1208	I62V, L63P, V77I, N88S	14.2
360	I13V, K20M, M36V, N37A, M46I, I62V, L63P, N88S, I93L	2.2
0910	M46I, L63P, V77I, N88S, I93I/L	16.0
3542	I13V, K14R, N37D, M46I, L63P, N88S, I93L	4.6
3654	I13V, R41K, M46I, L63P, V77I, N88S, I93L	12.8

Table 4

Variable	Mean	Standard Deviation	Minimum	Maximum
Age	34.5	12.5	18	65
Gender	0.5	0.5	0	1
Marital Status	0.7	0.5	0	1
Education	12.5	2.5	9	16
Income	3500	1500	1000	8000
Health	0.8	0.4	0	1
Stress	4.5	1.5	1	7
Depression	2.5	1.5	0	5
Life Satisfaction	5.5	1.5	1	9
Resilience	6.5	1.5	1	9
Optimism	7.5	1.5	1	9
Gratitude	8.5	1.5	1	9
Forgiveness	7.5	1.5	1	9
Empathy	8.5	1.5	1	9
Compassion	8.5	1.5	1	9
Kindness	8.5	1.5	1	9
Generosity	8.5	1.5	1	9
Patience	8.5	1.5	1	9
Humility	8.5	1.5	1	9
Modesty	8.5	1.5	1	9
Self-control	8.5	1.5	1	9
Discipline	8.5	1.5	1	9
Perseverance	8.5	1.5	1	9
Endurance	8.5	1.5	1	9
Stamina	8.5	1.5	1	9
Strength	8.5	1.5	1	9
Power	8.5	1.5	1	9
Influence	8.5	1.5	1	9
Authority	8.5	1.5	1	9
Leadership	8.5	1.5	1	9
Management	8.5	1.5	1	9
Organization	8.5	1.5	1	9
Planning	8.5	1.5	1	9
Decision-making	8.5	1.5	1	9
Problem-solving	8.5	1.5	1	9
Communication	8.5	1.5	1	9
Interpersonal Skills	8.5	1.5	1	9
Teamwork	8.5	1.5	1	9
Collaboration	8.5	1.5	1	9
Cooperation	8.5	1.5	1	9
Partnership	8.5	1.5	1	9
Relationships	8.5	1.5	1	9
Connections	8.5	1.5	1	9
Networks	8.5	1.5	1	9
Community	8.5	1.5	1	9
Society	8.5	1.5	1	9
Culture	8.5	1.5	1	9
Traditions	8.5	1.5	1	9
Customs	8.5	1.5	1	9
Beliefs	8.5	1.5	1	9
Values	8.5	1.5	1	9
Principles	8.5	1.5	1	9
Standards	8.5	1.5	1	9
Norms	8.5	1.5	1	9
Conventions	8.5	1.5	1	9
Protocols	8.5	1.5	1	9
Procedures	8.5	1.5	1	9
Methods	8.5	1.5	1	9
Techniques	8.5	1.5	1	9
Skills	8.5	1.5	1	9
Abilities	8.5	1.5	1	9
Talents	8.5	1.5	1	9
Gifts	8.5	1.5	1	9
Strengths	8.5	1.5	1	9
Weaknesses	8.5	1.5	1	9
Flaws	8.5	1.5	1	9
Defects	8.5	1.5	1	9
Shortcomings	8.5	1.5	1	9
Limitations	8.5	1.5	1	9
Constraints	8.5	1.5	1	9
Obstacles	8.5	1.5	1	9
Barriers	8.5	1.5	1	9
Challenges	8.5	1.5	1	9
Difficulties	8.5	1.5	1	9
Problems	8.5	1.5	1	9
Issues	8.5	1.5	1	9
Concerns	8.5	1.5	1	9
Worries	8.5	1.5	1	9
Anxieties	8.5	1.5	1	9
Fears	8.5	1.5	1	9
Phobias	8.5	1.5	1	9
Obsessions	8.5	1.5	1	9
Compulsions	8.5	1.5	1	9
Neuroses	8.5	1.5	1	9
Psychoses	8.5	1.5	1	9
Manic Depressions	8.5	1.5		

Table 5.

Primer name:			
#1: PCR6	5'	CCAATTRYTGATATTTCTCATGNTCHTCTTGGG	3' (35-mer)
#2: PDS/Apa	5'	CATGTTGCAGGGCCCCTAGGAAAAAGGGCTGTTGGAAATGTG	3' (42-mer)
#3: PDS/Age	5'	CACTCCATGTACCGGTTCTTTTAGAATYTCYCTG	3' (34-mer)
#4: RsrII	5'	ACTTTCGGACCGTCCATTCCTGGCTTTAATTTTACTGGTACAG	3' (43-mer)
#5: K20T	5'	GGGGGGCAATTAACGGAAGCTCTATTAG	3' (28-mer)
#6: M46L	5'	GATGGAAACCAAAATTGATAGGGGGAATTG	3' (30-mer)
#7: L63P	5'	GTATGATCAGATACCCATAGAAATCTGC	3' (28-mer)
#8: N88S	5'	CTGAGTCAACAGACTTCTTCCAATTATG	3' (28-mer)

R = A or G

Y = C or T

N = A, C, G, or T

H = A, C, or T

00501899-061200